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## Chapter 6 Stresses in Beam (Advanced Topics)

### 6.1 Introduction

in this chapter we continue the study of the bending of beam for several specialized topics
composite beams, elastoplastic bending, nonlinear bending
beams with inclined loads, unsymmetric beams, shear stress in thin-walled beams, shear center (these topics will discuss in Machines of Materials II)

## 6-2 Composite Beams

beams are built of more than one material, e.g. bimetallic beam, plastic coated steel pipes, wood beam reinforced with a steel plate, sandwich beam, reinforced concrete beam etc.

(a)



composite beam can be analyzed by the same bending theory
$\varepsilon_{x}$ vary linearly from top to bottom, but the position of the N . A. is not at the centroid of the cross sectional area

$$
\varepsilon_{x}=-\frac{y}{\rho}=-\kappa y
$$

normal stress $\sigma_{x}$ can be obtained from $\varepsilon_{x}$, assume that the materials behave in a linear elastic manner

$$
\sigma_{x}=E \varepsilon_{x}
$$

denoting $\quad E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are the moduli of elasticity for materials 1 and 2 , and assume $E_{2}>E_{1}$, then

$$
\sigma_{x 1}=-E_{1} \kappa y \quad \sigma_{x 2}=-E_{2} \kappa y
$$

$\sum F_{\mathrm{x}}=0$ force equilibrium in x -axis


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1} \sigma_{x 1} d A+\int_{2} \sigma_{x 2} d A=0 \\
& -\int_{1} E_{1} \kappa y d A+\int E_{2} \kappa y d A=0 \\
& E_{1} \int_{1} y d A+E_{2} \int_{2} y d A=0
\end{aligned}
$$


(b)

(c)
this equation can be used to located the N . A . of the cross section for beam of two materials, the integrals represent the $1^{\text {st }}$ moment of two parts w. r. t. the N. A.
if the cross section of a beam is doubly symmetric, the N . A. is located at the midheight of the cross section
moment equilibrium


$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =-\int_{A} \sigma_{x} y d A=-\int_{1} \sigma_{x 1} y d A+-\int_{2} \sigma_{x 2} y d A \\
& =\kappa E_{1} \int_{1} y^{2} d A+\kappa E_{2} \int_{2} y^{2} d A \\
& =\kappa\left(E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\kappa=\frac{1}{\rho}=\frac{M}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}}
$$

where $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are moments of inertia about the N. A. of the area of materials 1 and 2 , respectively (note that $I=I_{1}+I_{2}$ ) this equation is known as moment-curvature relationship $E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}$ is the flexural rigidity of the composite beam the normal stresses in the beam are obtained

$$
\sigma_{\chi 1}=-\frac{M y E_{1}}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}} \quad \sigma_{x 2}=-\frac{M y E_{2}}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}}
$$

for $E_{1}=E_{2}$, the above equation reduces to the flexural formula

## Approximate Theory for bending of Sandwich Beams

consider a doubly symmetric sandwich beam, if the material of the faces has a much larger modulus than the material of the core
assume the modulus of elasticity $\quad E_{2}$ of the core is zero, then


$$
\sigma_{x 1}=-\frac{M y}{I_{1}} \quad \sigma_{x 2}=0
$$

Where

$$
I_{1}=\frac{b}{12}\left(h^{3}-h_{\mathrm{c}}^{3}\right) \quad h_{c}=h-2 t
$$

the maximum normal stresses in the sandwich beam occur at the top and bottom

$$
\sigma_{\text {top }}=-\frac{M h}{2 I_{1}} \quad \sigma_{\text {bottom }}=\frac{M h}{2 I_{1}}
$$

if the faces are thin compared to the thickness of the core ( $t \ll h_{c}$ ), we assume that the core carries all of the shear stresses

$$
\tau_{\text {aver }}=\frac{V}{b h_{c}} \quad \gamma_{\text {aver }}=\frac{V}{b h_{c} G_{c}}
$$

## Limitations

both materials obey Hooke's law
materials are isotropic and homogeneous
for nonhomogeneous and nonlinear material, the above equation can not be applied, e.g. reinforced concrete beams are one of the most complex types of composite construction

## Example 6-1

a composite beam is constructed from wood beam and steel plate

$$
M=6 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m} \quad E_{1}=10.5 \mathrm{GPa}
$$

$E_{2}=210 \mathrm{GPa}$

calculate $\sigma_{\max }$ and $\sigma_{\min }$ in wood and steel
firstly, we want to determine the N. A.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1} y d A=y_{1} A_{1}=\left(h_{1}-75\right)(100 \times 150)=\left(h_{1}-75\right) \times 15,000 \\
& \int_{2} y d A=y_{2} A_{2}=-\left(156-h_{1}\right)(100 \times 012)=\left(h_{1}-156\right) \times 1,200 \\
& E_{1} \int_{1} y d A+E_{2} \int_{2} y d A=0 \\
& 10.5\left(h_{1}-75\right) \times 15,000+210\left(h_{1}-156\right) \times 1,200=0 \\
& \quad h_{1}=124.8 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
h_{2}=162-h_{1}=37.2 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

moment of inertia

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\frac{1}{12} 100 \times 150^{3}+100 \times 150\left(h_{1}-75\right)^{2}=65.33 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4} \\
I_{2} & =\frac{1}{12} 100 \times 12^{3}+100 \times 12\left(h_{2}-6\right)^{2}=1.18 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4} \\
& I=I_{1}+I_{2}=66.51 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

maximum compressive stress in wood $\left(y=h_{1}=124.8 \mathrm{~mm}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1 A} & =-\frac{M h_{1} E_{1}}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}}=-\frac{(6 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m})(124.8 \mathrm{~mm})(10.5 \mathrm{GPa})}{(10.5 \mathrm{GPa})\left(65.33 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right)+(210 \mathrm{GPa})\left(1.18 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right)} \\
& =-8.42 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

maximum tensile stress in wood $\quad\left[y=-\left(h_{2}-0.5\right)=-25.2 \mathrm{~mm}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1 C} & =-\frac{M h_{2} E_{1}}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}}=-\frac{(6 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m})(-25.2 \mathrm{~mm})(10.5 \mathrm{GPa})}{(10.5 \mathrm{GPa})\left(65.33 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right)+(210 \mathrm{GPa})\left(1.18 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right)} \\
& =1.7 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

minimum tensile stress in steel $\quad(y=-25.2 \mathrm{~mm})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2 C} & =-\frac{M y E_{2}}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}}=-\frac{(6 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m})(-25.2 \mathrm{~mm})(210 \mathrm{GPa})}{(10.5 \mathrm{GPa})\left(65.33 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right)+(210 \mathrm{GPa})\left(1.18 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right)} \\
& =34 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

maximum tensile stress in steel $\left(y=-h_{2}=-37.2 \mathrm{~mm}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2 B} & =-\frac{M y E}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}}=-\frac{(6 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m})(-37.2 \mathrm{~mm})(10.5 \mathrm{GPa})}{(10.5 \mathrm{GPa})\left(65.33 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right)+(210 \mathrm{GPa})\left(1.18 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}\right)} \\
& =50.2 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

note that

$$
\frac{\sigma_{2 C}}{\sigma_{1 C}}=\frac{E_{2}}{E_{1}}=\frac{34}{17}=20
$$

## Example 6-2

a sandwich beam having aluminum-alloy faces with plastic core, $M$
$=3 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m}$

| $E_{1}=72 \mathrm{GPa}$ | $E_{2}=800 \mathrm{GPa}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $t=5 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $h_{c}=150 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $h=160 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $b=200 \mathrm{~mm}$ |


determine $\sigma_{\max }$ and $\sigma_{\text {min }}$ in the faces and core
(a) using general theory
(b) using approximate theory
$\because$ the section is double symmetric, $\therefore$ N. A. is located at midheight

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\frac{b}{12}\left(h^{3}-h_{c}^{3}\right)=\frac{200}{12}\left(160^{3}-150^{3}\right)=12.017 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4} \\
& I_{2}=\frac{b}{12} h_{c}^{3}=\frac{200}{12} 150^{3}=56.25 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

the flexural rigidity of the composite beam is

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1} I_{1} & +E_{2} I_{2}=72 \times 10^{3} \times 12.017 \times 10^{6}+800 \times 56.25 \times 10^{6} \\
& =910,224 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm}^{2} \\
& =910,224 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

the $\sigma_{\max }$ for tension and compression in aluminum faces are

$$
\sigma_{\max }= \pm \frac{M(h / 2) E_{1}}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}}= \pm \frac{3 \times 10^{6} \times 80 \times 72 \times 10^{3}}{910,224 \times 10^{6}}= \pm 19.0 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

the $\sigma_{\max }$ for tension and compression in plastic core are

$$
\sigma_{\max }= \pm \frac{M\left(h_{c} / 2\right) E_{2}}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}}= \pm \frac{3 \times 10^{6} \times 75 \times 800}{910,224 \times 10^{6}}= \pm 0.198 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

from the approximate theory for sandwich beam

$$
\sigma_{\max }= \pm \frac{M y}{I_{1}}= \pm \frac{M(h / 2)}{I_{1}}= \pm \frac{3 \times 10^{6} \times 80}{12.017 \times 10^{6}}= \pm 20.0 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

this theory is conservative and gives slightly higher stresses

### 6.3 Transformed-Section Method

consider a composite beam, the N. A. of the cross section can be determined by the equation of equilibrium as state before

$$
E_{1} \int_{1} y d A+E_{2} \int_{2} y d A=0
$$

denote $n$ the modular ratio as

$$
n=E_{2} / E_{1}
$$


(a)

(b)
then the equilibrium equation can be written

$$
\int_{1} y d A+\int_{2} n y d A=0
$$

if each element of $d A$ in material $2 x \quad n$, a new cross section is shown, the N. A. of the new area is the same of the composite beam
i.e. the new cross section consisting only one material, material $\mathbf{1}$, this section is called the transformed section
$\because$ only one material is considered, the based equation can be used

$$
\sigma_{x}=-E_{1} \kappa y
$$

and the moment-curvature relation for the transformed beam is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=-\int_{A} \sigma_{x} y d A=-\int_{1} \sigma_{x} y d A+-\int_{2} \sigma_{x} y d A \\
&=\kappa E_{1} \int_{1} y^{2} d A+\kappa E_{1} S_{2} y^{2} d A \quad \text { [dA in material } 2 \text { is equal } \\
& n d A \text { of the original area] } \\
&=\kappa\left(E_{1} I_{1}+n E_{1} I_{2}\right) \\
&=\kappa\left(E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

same result as before
for the transformed section, the bending stress is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{x}=-\frac{M y}{I_{T}} \quad I_{T}=I_{1}+n I_{2}=I_{1}+\frac{E_{2}}{E_{1}} I_{2} \\
& \sigma_{x 1}=-\frac{M y E_{1}}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}} \quad \text { same as before }
\end{aligned}
$$

stress in material 1 can be calculated direct from the above equation, but in material 2 the stress in transformed section are not the same as in the original beam, it must be multiplied by $n$ to obtain the stress in the transformed section, i.e.

$$
\sigma_{\times 2}=-n \frac{M y}{I_{T}}=-\frac{M y n E_{1}}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}}=-\frac{M y E_{2}}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}}
$$

the result is same as before
it is possible to transform the original beam to a beam consisting material 2, use $n=E_{1} / E_{2}$
the transformed-section method may be extended to composite beam of more than 2 materials

## Example 6-3

a composite beam is formed of wood and steel plate as shown

$$
M=6 \mathrm{kN}-\mathrm{m} \quad E_{1}=10.5 \mathrm{I}
$$

using the transformed-section meth

(a)

(b) and compression in wood, and $\sigma_{\max }$ and $\sigma_{\min }$ for tension in steel [same problem as in example 6-1]

$$
n=E_{2} / E_{1}=30,000 / 1,500=20
$$

the N . A. of the transformed section can be calculated

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1}= & \frac{\sum y_{i} A_{i}}{\sum A_{i}}=\frac{75 \times 100 \times 150+156 \times 2,000 \times 12}{100 \times 150+2,000 \times 12}=\frac{4,869 \times 10^{3}}{39 \times 10^{3}} \\
& =124.8 \mathrm{~mm} \\
h_{2} & =162-h_{1}=37.2 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

the moment of inertia of the transformed section is

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{T}= & \frac{1}{12} 100 \times 150^{3}+100 \times 150 \times\left(h_{1}-75\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{12} 2,000 \times 12^{3} \\
& +2,000 \times 12 \times\left(h_{2}-6\right)^{2} \\
= & 65.3 \times 10^{6}+23.7 \times 10^{6}=89.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

bending stresses in the wood (material 1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1 A}=-\frac{M y}{I_{T}}=-\frac{\left(6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm}\right)(124.8 \mathrm{~mm})}{89.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}}=-8.42 \mathrm{MPa} \\
& \sigma_{1 C}=-\frac{M y}{I_{T}}=-\frac{\left(6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm}\right)(-25.2 \mathrm{~mm})}{89.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mm}^{4}}=1.7 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

the bending stresses in steel (material 2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{2 C}=-n \frac{M y}{I_{T}}=-20 \frac{6 \times 10^{6} \times(-37.2)}{89.0 \times 10^{6}}=50.2 \mathrm{MPa} \\
& \sigma_{2 B}=-n \frac{M y}{I_{T}}=-20 \frac{6 \times 10^{6} \times(-25.2)}{89.0 \times 10^{6}}=34 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

the results are the same as in example 6-1
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