Packed columns are used for distillation, gas absorption, and liquid-liquid extraction;-only distillation and absorption will be considered in this section. Stripping (desorption) is the reverse of absorption and the same design methods will apply.
The gas liquid contact in a packed bed column is continuous, not stage-wise, as in a plate column. The liquid flows down the column over the packing surface and the gas or vapour, counter-currently, up the column. In some gas-absorption columns co-current flow is used. The performance of a packed column is very dependent on the maintenance of good liquid and gas distribution throughout the packed bed, and this is an important consideration in packed-column design.

A schematic diagram, showing the main features of a packed absorption column, is given in Figure 11.36. A packed distillation column will be similar to the plate columns shown in Figure 11.1, with the plates replaced by packed sections.

## Choice of plates or packing

The choice between a plate or packed column for a particular application can only be made with complete assurance by costing each design. However, this will not always be worthwhile, or necessary, and the choice can usually be made, on the basis of experience by considering main advantages and disadvantages of each type; which are listed below: Plates or packing?

1. Plate columns can be designed to handle a wider range of liquid and gas flow-rates than packed columns.
2. Packed columns are not suitable for very low liquid rates.
3. The efficiency of a plate can be predicted with more certainty than the equivalent term for packing (HETP or HTU).
4. Plate columns can be designed with more assurance than packed columns. There is always some doubt that good liquid distribution can be maintained throughout a packed column under all operating conditions, particularly in large columns.
5. It is easier to make provision for cooling in a plate column; coils can be installed on the plates
6. It is easier to make provision for the withdrawal of side-streams from plate columns.
7. If the liquid causes fouling, or contains solids, it is easier to make provision for cleaning in a plate column; manways can be installed on the plates. With smalldiameter columns it may be cheaper to use packing and replace the packing when it becomes fouled.
8. For corrosive liquids a packed column will usually be cheaper than the equivalent plate column.
9. The liquid hold-up is appreciably lower in a packed column than a plate column. This can be important when the inventory of toxic or flammable liquids needs to be kept as small as possible for safety reasons.
10. Packed columns are more suitable for handling foaming systems.
11. The pressure drop per equilibrium stage (HETP) can be lower for packing than plates; and packing should be considered for vacuum columns.
12. Packing should always be considered for small diameter columns, say less than 0.6 m , where plates would be difficult to install, and expensive.


Figure 11.36. Packed absorption column

## Note: Page 1

HETP is height equivalent of a theoretical plate.
4 - about heat evolution, Cooling is easier in a plate column.
5- about fouling, for a big diameter use plate and for a small diameter use packed bed.
Small size packing provides high surface area.

## Packed-column design procedures

The design of a packed column will involve the following steps:

1. Select the type and size of packing.
2. Determine the column height required for the specified separation.
3. Determine the column diameter (capacity), to handle the liquid and vapour flow rates.
4. Select and design the column internal features: packing support, liquid distributor, redistributors.

## Types of packing

The principal requirements of a packing are that it should:
Provide a large surface area: a high interfacial area between the gas and liquid.
Have an open structure: low resistance to gas flow.
Promote uniform liquid distribution on the packing surface.

## Random packing

1. Rashing ring

2. Pall ring

3. Saddle


Berl saddle rings Intalokx saddle rings

## Packing size

Recommended size ranges are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Column diameter } \\
<0.3 \mathrm{~m}(1 \mathrm{ft}) \\
0.3 \text { to } 0.9 \mathrm{~m}(1 \text { to } 3 \mathrm{ft}) \\
>0.9 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

Use packing size
$<25 \mathrm{~mm}$ (1 in.)
25 to 38 mm ( 1 to 1.5 in .)
50 to 75 mm (2 to 3 in .)

Table 11.3. Design data for various packings

|  | Size |  | Bulk density $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Surface } \\ \text { area } a \\ \left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Packing factor$F_{p} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | in. | mm |  |  |  |
| Raschig rings ceramic | 0.50 | 13 | 881 | 368 | 2100 |
|  | 1.0 | 25 | 673 | 190 | 525 |
|  | 1.5 | 38 | 689 | 128 | 310 |
|  | 2.0 | 51 | 651 | 95 | 210 |
|  | 3.0 | 76 | 561 | 69 | 120 |
| Metal <br> (density for carbon steel) | 0.5 | 13 | 1201 | 417 | 980 |
|  | 1.0 | 25 | 625 | 207 | 375 |
|  | 1.5 | 38 | 785 | 141 | 270 |
|  | 2.0 | 51 | 593 | 102 | 190 |
|  | 3.0 | 76 | 400 | 72 | 105 |
| Pall rings metal (density for carbon steel) | 0.625 | 16 | 593 | 341 | 230 |
|  | 1.0 | 25 | 481 | 210 | 160 |
|  | 1.25 | 32 | 385 | 128 | 92 |
|  | 2.0 | 51 | 353 | 102 | 66 |
|  | 3.5 | 76 | 273 | 66 | 52 |
| Plastics (density for polypropylene) | 0.625 | 16 | 112 | 341 | 320 |
|  | 1.0 | 25 | 88 | 207 | 170 |
|  | 1.5 | 38 | 76 | 128 | 130 |
|  | 2.0 | 51 | 68 | 102 | 82 |
|  | 3.5 | 89 | 64 | 85 | 52 |
| Intalox saddles ceramic | 0.5 | 13 | 737 | 480 | 660 |
|  | 1.0 | 25 | 673 | 253 | 300 |
|  | 1.5 | 38 | 625 | 194 | 170 |
|  | 2.0 | 51 | 609 | 108 | 130 |
|  | 3.0 | 76 | 577 |  | 72 |

## Packed-bed height for absorption

For packed absorption and stripping columns where the concentration of the solute is small, say less than 10 per cent, the flow of gas and liquid will be essentially constant throughout the column, and the height of packing required, Z , is given by:

Note: Absorption is transfer from gas phase to liquid phase.

1 -Solute concentration y1 is higher than ye. Then solute may be transfer to the liquid phase until it reaches equilibrium ( $\mathrm{Y}_{1}>\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{e}}$ ).
2 -Solute concentration in the liquid phase is less than equilibrium. Then solute concentration may be increased until it reaches equilibrium ( $X_{e}>X$ ).
$3-X_{1}>X_{2}$.
4-Stripping is transfer from liquid phase to liquid phase.


Figure 11.39. Gas absorption concentration relationships

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Z=\mathbf{H}_{O G} \mathbf{N}_{O G} \\
Z=\mathbf{H}_{O L} \mathbf{N}_{O L} \\
Z=\frac{G_{m}}{K_{G} a P} \int_{y_{2},}^{y_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{y-y_{e}} \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

in terms of the overall gas phase mass transfer coefficient $K_{G}$ and the gas composition. Or.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{L_{m}}{K_{L} a C_{t}} \int_{x_{2}}^{x_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{x_{e}-x} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of the overall liquid-phase mass-transfer coefficient $K L$ and the liquid composition,
where $G_{m}=$ molar gas flow-rate per unit cross-sectional area,
$L_{m}=$ molar liquid flow-rate per unit cross-sectional area,
$a=$ interfacial surface area per unit volume.
$P=$ total pressure,
$C_{t}=$ total molar concentration,
$y_{1}$ and $y_{2}=$ the mol fractions of the solute in the gas at the bottom and top of the column, respectively,
$x_{1}$ and $x_{2}=$ the mol fractions of the solute in the liquid at the bottom and top of the column, respectively,
$x_{e}=$ the concentration in the liquid that would be in equilibrium with the gas concentration at any point,
$y_{e}=$ the concentration in the gas that would be in equilibrium with the liquid concentration at any point.
where $\mathbf{H}_{O G}$ is the height of an overall gas-phase transfer unit

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{O G}=\frac{G_{m}}{K_{G} a P} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{H}_{O L}$ is the height of an overall liquid-phase transfer unit

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {OL }}=\frac{L_{m}}{K_{L} a C_{t}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of overall gas-phase transfer units is often more conveniently expressed in terms of the partial pressure of the solute gas.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N}_{O G}=\int_{p_{1}}^{p_{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} p}{p-p_{e}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relationship between the overall height of a transfer unit and the individual film transfer units $\mathbf{H}_{L}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{G}$, which are based on the concentration driving force across the liquid and gas films, is given by:
$\mathrm{G} \Delta \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{L} \Delta \mathrm{X}$
$\Delta \mathrm{Y} / \Delta \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{G}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{H}_{O G}=\mathbf{H}_{G}+m \frac{G_{m}}{L_{m}} \mathbf{H}_{L}  \tag{8}\\
\mathbf{H}_{O L}=\mathbf{H}_{L}+\frac{L_{m}}{m G_{m}} \mathbf{H}_{G} \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m$ is the slope of the equilibrium line and $\mathrm{Lm} / \mathrm{Gm}$ the slope of the operating line. The number of transfer units is obtained by graphical or numerical integration Special cases:

Where the operating and equilibrium lines are straight, and they can usually be considered to be so for dilute systems, the number of transfer units is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N}_{O G}=\frac{y_{1}-y_{2}}{\Delta y_{\mathrm{lm}}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta y_{\mathrm{lm}}$ is the log mean driving force, given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\mathrm{lm}}=\frac{\Delta y_{1}-\Delta y_{2}}{\ln \left(\frac{\Delta y_{1}}{\Delta y_{2}}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta y_{1}=y_{1}-y_{e}$,
$\Delta y_{2}=y_{2}-y_{e}$.
If the equilibrium curve and operating lines can be taken as straight and the solvent feed essentially solute free, the number of transfer units is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N}_{O G}=\frac{1}{1-\left(\frac{m G_{m}}{L_{m}}\right)} \ln \left[\left(1-\frac{m G_{m}}{L_{m}}\right) \frac{y_{1}}{y_{2}}+\frac{m G_{m}}{L_{m}}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be seen from Figure 11.40 that the number of stages required for a given separation is very dependent on the flow rate Lm , If the solvent rate is not set by other process considerations, Figure 11.40 can be used to make quick estimates of the column height at different flow rates to find the most economic value. It is suggested that the optimum value for the term $m G m / L m$ will lie between 0.7 to 0.8 .


## Column diameter (capacity)

The capacity of a packed column is determined by its cross-sectional area. Normally, the column will be designed to operate at the highest economical pressure drop, to ensure good liquid and gas distribution. For random packing the pressure drop will not normally exceed 80 mm of water per meter of packing height. At this value the gas velocity will be about 80 per cent of the flooding velocity. Recommended design values, mm water per m packing, are:
Absorbers and strippers
15 to 50
Distillation, atmospheric and moderate pressure
40 to 80

The column cross-sectional area and diameter for the selected pressure drop can be determined from the generalized pressure-drop correlation given in Figure 11.44. The figure correlates the liquid and vapor flow rates, system physical properties and packing
characteristics, with the gas mass flow-rate per unit cross-sectional area; with lines of constant pressure drop as a parameter.
The term $K_{4}$ on Figure 11.44 is the function:

$$
K_{4}=\frac{13.1\left(V_{w}^{*}\right)^{2} F_{p}\left(\frac{\mu_{L}}{\rho_{L}}\right)^{0.1}}{\rho_{v}\left(\rho_{L}-\rho_{v}\right)}
$$

where $V_{w}^{*}=$ gas mass flow-rate per unit column cross-sectional area, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ $F_{p}=$ packing factor, characteristic of the size and type of packing, see Table 11.3, $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$.
$\mu_{L}=$ liquid viscosity, $\mathrm{Ns} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
$\rho_{L}, \rho_{v}=$ liquid and vapour densities, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$


The values of the flow factor $F_{L V}$ given in Figure 11.44 covers the range that will generally give satisfactory column performance.

## Cornell's method

$\mathbf{H}_{G}=0.011 \psi_{h}(S c)_{v}^{0.5}\left(\frac{D_{c}}{0.305}\right)^{1.11}\left(\frac{Z}{3.05}\right)^{0.33} /\left(L_{w}^{*} f_{1} f_{2} f_{3}\right)^{0.5}$
$\mathbf{H}_{L}=0.305 \phi_{h}(S c)_{L}^{0.5} K_{3}\left(\frac{Z}{3.05}\right)^{0.15}$


Figure 11.41. Percentage flooding correction factor


Figure 11.42. Factor for $\mathbf{H}_{G}$ for Berl saddles
where $\mathbf{H}_{G}=$ height of a gas-phase transfer unit, m ,
$\mathbf{H}_{L}=$ height of a liquid-phase transfer unit, m ,
$(S c)_{v}=$ gas Schmidt number $=\left(\mu_{v} / \rho_{v} D_{v}\right)$,
$(S c)_{L}=$ liquid Schmidt number $=\left(\mu_{L} / \rho_{L} D_{L}\right)$,
$D_{c}=$ column diameter, m ,
$Z=$ column height, m ,
$K_{3}=$ percentage flooding correction factor, from Figure 11.41,
$\psi_{h}=\mathbf{H}_{G}$ factor from Figure 11.42,
$\phi_{h}=\mathbf{H}_{L}$ factor from Figure 11.43,
$L_{w}^{*}=$ liquid mass flow-rate per unit area column cross-sectional area, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}$, $f_{1}=$ liquid viscosity correction factor $=\left(\mu_{L} / \mu_{w}\right)^{0.16}$,


Figure 11.43. Factor for $\mathbf{H}_{L}$ for Berl saddles
$f_{2}=$ liquid density correction factor $=\left(\rho_{w} / \rho_{L}\right)^{1.25}$,
$f_{3}=$ surface tension correction factor $=\left(\sigma_{w} / \sigma_{L}\right)^{0.8}$,
where the suffix $w$ refers to the physical properties of water at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; all other physical properties are evaluated at the column conditions.

Design procedures:
1-Calculate $\mathrm{Y}_{1} / \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ from a given recovery.
2-Choose optimum $\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$.
3-Evaluate $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$.
4-Use Figure 11.4 to find NOG at $\dot{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{1} / \mathrm{Y}_{2}$.
5 -Choose suitable $\Delta \mathrm{P}$.
6- Calculate FLv.
7-Find $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ from Figure 11.44.
8-Calculate percentage flooding.
9-Calculate $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{*}$ from equation.
10-Calculate $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}}$ from:
Area $=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{V}{ }^{*}{ }^{*}$
$\mathrm{A}=\pi / 4\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{2}$
11-Calculate $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{G}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and HOG from equation.
12-Calculate $Z$ from: $Z=$ NOG.HOG
Note: If there is available data about other packing we may use their information in the design of packed absorber.
Note: Increasing $\dot{m}_{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}} \rightarrow$ decreasing $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}} \rightarrow$ decreasing wetting rate $\rightarrow$ decreasing mass transfer $\rightarrow$ increasing NOG $\rightarrow$ increasing no. of stages $\rightarrow$ increasing Z .
Note: If a solution is diluted as in the example, consider it as air-water mixture.

## Example 11.14

Sulphur dioxide produced by the combustion of sulphur in air is absorbed in water. Pure $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ is then recovered from the solution by steam stripping. Make a preliminary design for the absorption column. The feed will be $5000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ of gas containing 8 per cent $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$. The gas will be cooled to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 95 per cent recovery of the sulphur dioxide is required.

## Solution

As the solubility of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ in water is high, operation at atmospheric pressure should be satisfactory. The feed-water temperature will be taken as $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a reasonable design value.

## Solubility data

| per cent w/w <br> solution | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.15 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.5 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Partial press. <br> gas mmHg | 1.2 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 8.5 | 14.1 | 26 | 39 | 59 | 92 |

Partial pressure of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ in the feed $=(8 / 100) \times 760=60.8 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$
These figures are plotted in Figure (d).


Figure (d). $\quad \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ absorber design (Example 11.14)

## Number of stages

Partial pressure in the exit gas at 95 per cent recovery $=60.8 \times 0.05=3.04 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$
Over this range of partial pressure the equilibrium line is essentially straight so Figure 11.40 can be used to estimate the number of stages needed.

The use of Figure 11.40 will slightly overestimate the number of stages and a more accurate estimate would be made by graphical integration of equation 11.104; but this is not justified in view of the uncertainty in the prediction of the transfer unit height.

Molecular weights: $\mathrm{SO}_{2}=64, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=18$, air $=29$

## Slope of equilibrium line

From the data: partial pressure at $1.0 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w} \mathrm{SO}=59 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$.
Mol. fraction in vapour $=\frac{59}{760}=0.0776$
Mol. fraction in liquid $=\frac{\frac{1}{64}}{\frac{1}{64}+\frac{99}{18}}=0.0028$

$$
m=\frac{0.0776}{0.0028}=27.4
$$

To decide the most economic water flow-rate, the stripper design should be considered together with the absorption design, but for the purpose of this example the absorption design will be considered alone. Using Figure 11.40 the number of stages required at different water rates will be determined and the "optimum" rate chosen:

$$
\frac{y_{1}}{y_{2}}=\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}=\frac{60.8}{3.04}=20
$$

| $m \frac{G_{m}}{L_{m}}$ | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{O G}$ | 3.7 | 4.1 | 6.3 | 8 | 10.8 | 19.0 |

It can be seen that the "optimum" will be between $m G_{m} / L_{m}=0.6$ to 0.8 , as would be expected. Below 0.6 there is only a small decrease in the number of stages required with increasing liquid rate; and above 0.8 the number of stages increases rapidly with decreasing liquid rate.

Check the liquid outlet composition at 0.6 and 0.8 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Material balance } L_{m} x_{1}=G_{m}\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right) \\
& \text { so } x_{1}=\frac{G_{m}}{L_{m}}(0.08 \times 0.95)=\frac{m}{27.4} \frac{G_{m}}{L_{m}}(0.076) \\
& \text { at } \frac{m G_{m}}{L_{m}}=0.6, x_{1}=1.66 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \text { fraction } \\
& \text { at } \frac{m G_{m}}{L_{m}}=0.8, x_{1}=2.22 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \text { fraction }
\end{aligned}
$$

Use 0.8 , as the higher concentration will favour the stripper design and operatir without significantly increasing the number of stages needed in the absorber.

$$
\mathrm{N}_{O G}=\underline{\underline{8}}
$$

## Column diameter

The physical properties of the gas can be taken as those for air, as the concentration $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ is low.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Gas flow-rate }=\frac{5000}{3600}=1.39 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s},=\frac{1.39}{29} & =0.048 \mathrm{kmol} / \mathrm{s} \\
\text { Liquid flow-rate }=\frac{27.4}{0.8} \times 0.048 & =1.64 \mathrm{kmol} / \mathrm{s} \\
& =29.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Select $38 \mathrm{~mm}\left(1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{in}\right.$.) ceramic Intalox saddles.
From Table 11.3, $F_{p}=170 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Gas density at } 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} & =\frac{29}{22.4} \times \frac{273}{293}=1.21 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
\text { Liquid density } & \simeq 1000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
\text { Liquid viscosity } & =10^{-3} \mathrm{Ns} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
\frac{L_{W}^{*}}{V_{W}^{*}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{v}}{\rho_{L}}} & =\frac{29.5}{1.39} \sqrt{\frac{1.21}{10^{3}}}=0.74
\end{aligned}
$$

Design for a pressure drop of $20 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{m}$ packing From Figure 11.44,

$$
K_{4}=0.35
$$

At flooding $K_{4}=0.8$

$$
\text { Percentage flooding }=\left[\frac{K_{4} \text { at design pressure drop }}{K_{4} \text { at flooding }}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Percentage flooding $=\sqrt{\frac{0.35}{0.8}} \times 100=66$ per cent, satisfactory.
From equation 11.118

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{W}^{*} & =\left[\frac{K_{4} \rho_{V}\left(\rho_{L}-\rho_{v}\right)}{13.1 F_{p}\left(\mu_{L} / \rho_{L}\right)^{0.1}}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left[\frac{0.35 \times 1.21(1000-1.21)}{13.1 \times 170\left(10^{-3} / 10^{3}\right)^{0.1}}\right]^{1 / 2}=0.87 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{4}=\frac{13.1\left(V_{w}^{*}\right)^{2} F_{p}\left(\frac{\mu_{L}}{\rho_{L}}\right)^{0.1}}{\rho_{v}\left(\rho_{L}-\rho_{v}\right)} \tag{11.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Column area required $=\frac{1.39}{0.87}=1.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Diameter }=\sqrt{\frac{4}{\pi} \times 1.6}=1.43 \mathrm{~m} \\
\text { Round off to } \underline{\underline{1.50 \mathrm{~m}}} \\
\text { Column area }= \\
\frac{\pi}{4} \times 1.5^{2}=1.77 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
\text { Packing size to column diameter ratio }=\frac{1.5}{38 \times 10^{-3}}=39,
\end{array} \text {, }
\end{gathered}
$$

A larger packing size could be considered.

## Percentage flooding at selected diameter

$$
=66 \times \frac{1.6}{1.77}=60 \text { per cent },
$$

Could consider reducing column diameter.

## Estimation of $\mathrm{H}_{O G}$

## Cornell's method

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{L} & =1.7 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s} \\
D_{v} & =1.45 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s} \\
\mu_{v} & =0.018 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Ns} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
(S c)_{v} & =\frac{0.018 \times 10^{-3}}{1.21 \times 1.45 \times 10^{-5}}=1.04 \\
(S c)_{L} & =\frac{10^{-3}}{1000 \times 1.7 \times 10^{-9}}=588 \\
L_{W}^{*} & =\frac{29.5}{1.77}=16.7 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{~m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Figure 11.41, at 60 per cent flooding, $K_{3}=0.85$.
From Figure 11.42, at 60 per cent flooding, $\psi_{h}=80$.
From Figure 11.43, at $L_{W}^{*}=16.7, \phi_{h}=0.1$.
$\mathbf{H}_{O G}$ can be expected to be around 1 m , so as a first estimate $Z$ can be taken as 8 m . The column diameter is greater than 0.6 m so the diameter correction term will be taken as 2.3.

For design purposes the diameter correction term should be taken as a fixed value of 2.3 for columns above 0.6 m .

$$
\mathbf{H}_{L}=0.305 \times 0.1(588)^{0.5} \times 0.85\left(\frac{8}{3.05}\right)^{0.15}=0.7 \mathrm{~m}
$$

As the liquid temperature has been taken as $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the liquid is water,

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}=f_{2}=f_{3}=1 \\
\mathbf{H}_{G}=0.011 \times 80(1.04)^{0.5}(2.3)\left(\frac{8}{3.05}\right)^{0.33} /(16.7)^{0.5}=0.7 \mathrm{~m}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathbf{H}_{O G}=0.7+0.8 \times 0.7=1.3 \mathrm{~m}
$$

$$
Z=8 \times 1.3=10.4 \mathrm{~m}, \text { close enough to the estimated value. }
$$

round to 11 m .

Sheet \#4
Design an absorption column for this duty.
Q. 1

An acetone-air mixture containing 0.015 mole fraction of acetone has the mole fraction reduced to 5 per cent of this value by countercurrent absorption with water in a packed tower. The gas flow rate $G$ is $1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}$ of air and the water flow rate entering is $1.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}$. For this system, Henry's law holds and $y e=1.75 x$, where $y e$ is the mole fraction of acetone in the vapor in equilibrium with a mole fraction $x$ in the liquid.
Q. 2

Ammonia is removed from a 10 per cent ammonia-air mixture by scrubbing with water in a packed tower, so that 99 per cent of the ammonia is removed. The gas enters at a rate of $6000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 150 psig . Diffusivity $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$-water) $=6.83 \mathrm{E}-5 \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{hr}$, Diffusivity $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$-air) $0.065 \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{hr}$.

## Equilibrium data

| y | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.035 | 0.06 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| x | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.1 |

Q. 3 Repeat Q. 2

- For 25 mm packing.
- For 97\% recovery.

A process stream containing nitrogen and carbon dioxide is to be scrubbed with water for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ removal. The inlet gas contains $40.6 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{hr}$ of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $365.4 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{N}_{2}$. the absorber is to operate at $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3 atm . Design a suitable absorber for $95 \%$ recovery.
12.7. In an absorption tower, ammonia is absorbed from air at atmospheric pressure by acetic acid. The flowrate of $2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ in a test corresponds to a Reynolds number of 5100 and hence a friction factor $R / \rho u^{2}$ of 0.020 . At the temperature of absorption the viscosity of the gas stream is $0.018 \mathrm{mN} \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, the density is $1.154 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and the diffusion coefficient of ammonia in air is $1.96 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$.

Determine the mass transfer coefficient through the gas film in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$.

