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The chemical engineering profession encompasses a wide variety of adtivities and is engaged in resolving problems that occur in industry, government, and academia. Problems encountered by chemical engineers are found in design, operation, control, troubleshooting, research, and even politics, the latter because of environmental and economic concerns. Chemical engineers work in numerous areas besides petrolcum refining and the chemical and petrochemical industries because their background and experience are easily portable and found useful. You will find chemical engineers solving problems in industries such as

Drugs and pharmaceutics
Microelectronics
Biotechnology
Explosives and fireworks
Fats and oils
Fertilizer and agricultural chemicals
Foods and beverages
Leatrer tanning and finishing
Lime and cement
Man-make fibers
Metallurgical and metal products
Paints, varnishes, and pigments
Pesticides and herbicides
Plastic materials, synthetic resins

Fubber products
Soap and toiletries
Solid-state materials
Stone, clay, glass, and ceramics
Wood, pulp, paper, and board
Table 1.0 gives you some idea of the vast industrial capacity in the United States in which chemical engineers participate.

For you to learn how to appreciate and treat the problems that will arise in our modern technology, especially in the technology of the future, it is necessary to learn certain basic principles and practice their application. This text describes the principles of making material and energy balances and illustrates their application in a wide variety of ways

We begin in this chapter with a review of certain background information. You have already encountered most of the concepts to be presented in basic chemistry and physics courses. Why, then, the need for a review? First, from experience we

TABLE 1.0 U.S. Production in 1986

| Chemicals (in $10^{9} \mathrm{lb}$ ) |  | Fertilizers (in $10^{6}$ tons) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sulfuric acid | 73.64 | Nitrogen |  | 10.4 |
| Nitrogen | 48.62 | Phosphate ( $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ) |  | 8.6 |
| Oxypen | 33.03 | Potash ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) |  | 1.0 |
| Ethylene | 32.81 |  |  |  |
| Lime | 30.34 | Pesticides and herbicides | (in $10^{6} \mathrm{lb}$ ) |  |
| Amrnonia | 28.01 | Herbicides |  | 756 |
| Sodium hydroxide | 22.01 | Insecticides |  | 370 |
| Chicrine | 20.98 | Fungicides |  | 109 |
| Phosphoric acid | 18.41 |  |  |  |
| Propylene | 17.34 | Plastics (in $10^{8} \mathrm{lb}$ ) |  |  |
| Sodism carbonate | 17.20 | Thermosetting resins |  | 5.8 |
| Ethylene dichloride | 14.53 | (phenols, urea, |  |  |
| Nitric acid | 13.12 | polyesters, epoxies) |  |  |
| Urea | 12.06 | Thermoplastic resins |  | 33.6 |
| Ammonium nitrate | 11.11 | (polyetheyne, polysty | ne, |  |
| Benz.ene | 10.23 | copol |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 8.92 | Synthetic fibers |  |  |
| Carton dioxide | 8.50 | Cellulosics (rayon, acet |  | 0.6 |
| Vinyl chloride | 8.42 | Cellulosics (rayon, aceta |  | 0.6 |
| Styrene | 7.84 | Polyester <br> Nylon |  | 3.3 2.5 |
| Minerals (in $10^{6}$ tons) |  | Olefinic and acrylic |  | 3.0 |
| Phosphate rock | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| Salt | 36.8 |  |  |  |
| Sulfur | 12.2 |  |  |  |
| Synthelic rubber (in metric tons) | 4380 |  |  |  |

have found it necessary to restate these familiar basic concepts in a somewhat more general and clearer fashion; second, you will need practice to develop your ability to analyze and work engineering problems. To read and understand the principles discussed in this chapter is relatively easy; to apply them to different unfamiliar situations is not. An engineer becomes competent in his or her profession by mastering the techniques developed by one's predecessors-thereafter comes the time to pioneer new ones.

The clapter begins with a discussion of units, dimensions, and conversion factors, and then goes on to review some terms you should already be acquainted with, such as:
(a) Mole and mole fraction
(b) Density and specific gravity
(c) Measures of concentration
(d) Temperature
(e) Pressure


Figure 1.0 Hierarchy of topics to be studied in this chapter (section numbers are in the upper left-hand corner of the boxes).

It then provides some clues on "how to solve problems," which should be of material aid in all the remaining portions of your career. Finally, the principles of stoichiometry are reviewed, and the technique of handling incomplete reactions is illustrated. Figure 1.0 shows the relation of the topics to be discussed to each other and to the ultimate goal of being able to solve problems involving both material and energy balances.

### 1.1 UNITS AND DIMENSIONS

## Your objectives in studying this section are to be able to:

1. Add, subtract, multiply, and divide units associated with numbers.
2. Convert one set of units in a function or equation into another equivalent set for mass, length, area, volume, time, energy, and force.
3. Specify the basic and derived units in the SI and Amerigan engineering systems for mass, length, volume, density, and time, and their equivalences
4. Explain the difference between weight and mass.
5. Define and know how to use the gravitational conversion factor $g_{c}$.
6. Apply the concepts of dimensional consistency to determine the units of any term in a function.

Take care of your units and they will take care of you."

At some time in every student's life comes the exasperating sensation of frustration in problem solving. Somehow, the answers or the calculations do not come out as expected. Often this outcome arises because of inexperience in the handling of units. The use of units or dimensions along with the numbers in your callculations requires more attention than you probably have been giving to your computations in the past, but paying attention will help avoid such annoying experiences. The proper use of dimensions in problem solving is not only sound from a logical yiewpoint-it will also be helpful in guiding you along an appropriate path of analysis from what is at hand through what has to be done to the final solution.

## 1.1-1 Units and Dimensions

Dimensions are our basic concepts of measurement such as length, time, mass, temperature, and so on; units are the means of expressing the dimensions, such as feet or centimeters for length, or hours or seconds for time. Units ane associated with some quantities you may have previously considered to be dimensionless. A good example is molecular weight, which is really the mass of one substance per mole of
that substance. This method of attaching units to all numbers which are not fundamentally dimensionless has the following very practical benefits:
(a) It diminishes the possibility of inadvertent inversion of any portion of the calculation.
(b) It reduces the calculation in many cases to simple ratios, which can be easily manipulated on a hand-held calculator.
(c) It recluces the intermediate calculations and eliminates considerable time in problem solving.
(d) It enables you to approach the problem logically rather than by remembering a formula and plugging numbers into the formula.
(e) It demonstrates the physical meaning of the numbers you use.

Every freshman knows that what you get from adding apples to oranges is fruit salad! The rule for handling units is essentially quite simple: treat the units as you would algebraic symbols. You can add, subtract, or equate numerical quantities only if the units of the quantities are the same. Thus the operation

$$
5 \text { kilograms }+3 \text { joules }
$$

is meaningless because the dimensions of the two terms are different. The numerical operation

$$
10 \text { pounds }+5 \text { grams }
$$

can be performed (because the dimensions are the same, mass) only after the units are transformed to be the same, either pounds, or grams, or ounces, and so on. In multiplication and division, you can multiply or divide different units, such as ( 10 centimeters $\div 4$ seconds) $=2.5$ centimeters/second, but you cannot cancel them out unless they are the same. For example, $3 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ must first be converted to $3 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 0.6 \mathrm{~m}$ and then to 5 m . The units contain a significant amount of information content that cannot be ignored. They also serve as guides in efficient problem solving, as you will see shortly.

## EXAMPLE 1.1 Dimensions and Units

Add the following:
(a)
1 foot +3 seconds
(b) $\quad 1$ horsepower +300 watts

## Solution

The operation indicated by

$$
1 \mathrm{ft}+3 \mathrm{~s}
$$

has no meaning since the dimensions of the two terms are not the same. One floot has the dimensions of length, whereas 3 seconds has the dimensions of time. In the cas\& of

$$
1 \mathrm{hp}+300 \text { watts }
$$

the dimensions are the same (energy per unit time) but the units are different. You must transform the two quantities into like units, such as horsepower, watts, or something else, before the addition can be carried out. Since $1 \mathrm{hp}=746$ watts,

$$
746 \text { watts }+300 \text { watts }=1046 \text { watts }
$$

## 1.1-2 Conversion of Units and Conversion Factors

In this book, to help you follow the calculations, we will frequently make use of what is called the dimensional equation. It contains both units and numbers. One quantity is multiplicd by a number of ratios termed conversion factors of equivalent values, of combinations of time, distance, and so on, to arrive at the final desired answer. The ratios used are simple well-known values and thus the conversion itself should present no great problem. Examine Example 1.2.

## EXAMPLE 1.2 Conversion of Units

If a plane travels at twice the speed of sound (assume that the speed of sound is $1100 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ ), how fast is it going in miles per hour?

## Solution

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|}
2 & 1100 \mathrm{ft} & 1 \mathrm{mi} & 60 \mathrm{~s} & 60 \mathrm{~min} \\
\hline & \mathrm{~s} & 5280 \mathrm{ft} & 1 \mathrm{~min} & 1 \mathrm{hr}
\end{array}=1500 \frac{\mathrm{mi}}{\mathrm{hr}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|l}
2 & 1100 \mathrm{ft} & 60 \frac{\mathrm{mi}}{\mathrm{hr}} \\
\hline & \mathrm{~s} & 88 \frac{\mathrm{ft}}{\mathrm{~s}}
\end{array}=1500 \frac{\mathrm{mi}}{\mathrm{hr}}
$$

Of course, it is possible to look up conversion ratios, which will enable the length of the calculation to be reduced; for instance, in Example 1.2 we could have used the conversion factor of $60 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ equals $88 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. However, it usually takes less time to use values you know than to look up shortcut conversion factors in a handbook. Common conversion ratios are listed on the inside front cover.

We have set up the dimensional equation with vertical lines to separate each ratio, and these lines retain the same meaning as an $\times$ or multiplication sign placed between each ratio. The dimensional equation will be retained in this form throughout most of this text to enable you to keep clearly in mind the signficance of units in problem solving. It is recommended that you always write down the units next to the associated numerical value (unless the calculation is very simple) until you become quite familiar with the use of units and dimensions and can carry them in your head.

At any point in the dimensional equation you can determine the consolidated net units and see what conversions are still required. This may be carried out formally, as shown below by drawing slanted lines below the dimensfonal equation and
writing the consolidated units on these lines, or it may be done by eye, mentally canceling and accumulating the units, or, you can strike out pairs of units as you proceed:

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
2 \times 1100 \mathrm{ft} & 1 \mathrm{mi} & 60 \mathrm{sec} & 60 \mathrm{~min} \\
\hline \mathrm{~s} & 5280 \mathrm{ft} & 1 \mathrm{~min} & 1 \mathrm{hr}
\end{array}
$$

Consistent use of dimensional equations throughout your professional career will assist you in avoiding silly mistakes such as converting 10 centimeters to inches by multiplying by 2.54 :

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
10 \mathrm{~cm} & 2.54 \mathrm{~cm} \\
\hline & \text { in. }
\end{array}=25.4 \mathrm{~cm} \quad \text { but instead }=25.4 \frac{\mathrm{~cm}^{2}}{\mathrm{in} .}
$$

Note how easily you discover that a blunder has occurred by including the units in the calculations.

Here is another example of the conversion of units.

## EXAMPLE 1.3 Use of Units

Change $400 \mathrm{in}^{3}{ }^{3}$ day to $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Solution

$$
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
400 \mathrm{in} .^{3} & (2.54 \mathrm{~cm} \\
\hline \text { day } & \left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \mathrm{day}
\end{array}\right)^{3} & 1 \mathrm{hr} \\
\hline 14 \mathrm{hr} & 60 \mathrm{~min}
\end{array}=4.56 \frac{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~min}}
$$

In this example note that not only are the numbers raised to a power, but the units also are raised to the same power.

There shall be one measure of wine throughout our kingdom, and one measure of ale, and one measure of grain . . . and one breadth of cloth. . . . And of weights it shall be as of measures.

So reads the standard measures clause of the Magna Carta (June 1215). The standards mentioned were not substantially revised until the nineteenth century. When the American colonies separated from England, they retained, among other things, the weights and measures then in use. It is probable that at that time these were the most firmly established and widely used weights and measures in the world.

No such uniformity of weights and measures existed on the European continent. Weighis and measures differed not only from country to country but even from town to town and from one trade to another. This lack of uniformity led the National Assembly of France during the French Revolution to enact a decree (May 8, 1790) that called upon the French Academy of Sciences to act in concert with the Royal Society of London to "deduce an invariable standard for all of the measures and all weights." Having already an adequate system of weights and measures, the English
TABLE 1.1 Common Systems of Units

|  | Length | Time | Mass | Force | Energy* | Temperature | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolute (dynamic) systems Cgs | centimeter | second | gram | dyne* | erg, joule, or calorie | K, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Formerly common scientific |
| Fps (ft-lb-s or English absolute) | foot | second | pound | poundal* ${ }^{*}$ | ft poundal | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |
| SI | meter | second | kilogram | newton* | joule | K, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Internationally adopted units for ordinary and scientific use |
| Gravitational systems British engineering | foot | second | slug* | pound weight | Btu(ft)( $\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{t}}$ ) | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |
| American engineering | foot | second, hour | pound mass $\left(\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ | pound force ( $\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Btul } \\ & \text { or (hp)(hr) } \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Used by chemical and petroleum engineers in the United States |

[^0]TABLE 1.2 SI Units Encountered in this Book

*Symbols for units do not take a plural form, but plural forms are used for the unabibreviated names.

Sec. 1.1 Units and Dimensions

## omgns

In the cgs system the unit of force is defined as the dyne; hence if $C$ is selected to be $C=1$ dyne $/(\mathrm{g})(\mathrm{cm}) / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, then when 1 g is accelerated at $1 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$

$$
F=\begin{array}{l|l|l}
1 \text { dyne } & 1 \mathrm{~g} & 1 \mathrm{~cm} \\
\hline \frac{(\mathrm{~g})(\mathrm{cm})}{\mathrm{s}^{2}} & & \mathrm{~s}^{2}
\end{array}=1 \text { dyne }
$$

Similarly, in the SI system in which the unit of force is defined to be the newton ( N ), if $C=1 \mathrm{~N} /(\mathrm{kg})(\mathrm{m}) / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, then when 1 kg is accelerated at $1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$,

$$
F=\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\mathrm{IN} & 1 \mathrm{~kg} & 1 \mathrm{~m} \\
\hline \frac{(\mathrm{~kg})(\mathrm{m})}{\mathrm{s}^{2}} & & \mathrm{~s}^{2} \\
& &
\end{array}
$$

However, in the American engineering system we ask that the numerical value of the force and the mass be essentially the same at the earth's surface. Hence, if a mass of 1 lb b is accelerated at $g \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, where $g$ is the acceleration of gravity (about $32.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ depending on the location of the mass), we can make the force be $1 \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{f}}$ by choosing the proper numerical value and units for $C$ :

$$
F=(C) \begin{array}{l|l}
1 \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}} & \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ft}  \tag{1.2}\\
\hline \mathrm{~s}^{2}
\end{array}=1 \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{f}}
$$

Observe that for Eq. (1.2) to hold, the units of $C$ have to be

$$
C \approx \frac{\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{t}}}{\operatorname{lo}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{ft}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}\right)}
$$

A numerical value of $1 / 32.174$ has been chosen for the constant because 32.174 is the numerical value of the average acceleration of gravity $(g)$ at sea level at $45^{\circ}$ latitude when $g$ is expressed in $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. The acceleration of gravity, you may recall, varies a few tenths of $1 \%$ from place to place on the surface of the earth and changes considerably as you rise up from the surface as in a rocket. With this selection of units and with the number 32.174 employed in the denominator of the conversion factor,

$$
F=\left(\frac{1\left(\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}\right)}{32.174\left(\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)(\mathrm{ft})}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l|l}
1 \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}} & \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ft} \\
\mathrm{~s}^{2}
\end{array}\right)=1 \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{f}}
$$

The inverse of $C$ is given the special symbol $g_{c}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{c}=32.174 \frac{(\mathrm{ft})\left(1 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}\right)\left(1 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Division by $g_{c}$ achieves exactly the same result as multiplication by $C$ in Newton's law. You can see, therefore, that in the American engineering system we have the convenience that the numerical value of a pound mass is also that of a pound force if the numerical value of the ratio $g / g_{c}$ is equal to 1 , as it is approximately in most cases. A nonstandard usage sometimes encountered analogous to $\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is kg f
and $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{m}}$; a conversion factor not equal to unity is involved in the transformation by an ecuation similar to Eq. (1.2).

Furthermore, a one pound mass is said to weigh one pound if the mass is in static equilibrium on the surface of earth. We can define weight as the opposed force required to support a mass. For the concept of weight for masses that are not stationary at earth's surface, or are affected by the earth's rotation (a factor of only 0.002 times the force exerted by gravity), or are located away from the \&arth's surface as in a rocket or satellite, consult your physics text.

To sum up, always keep in mind that the two quantities $g$ and $g_{c}$ are not the same. Also, never forget that the pound (mass) and pound (force) are not the same units in the American engineering system even though we speak of pounds to exoress force, weight, or mass. Nearly all teachers and writers in physics, engineering, and related fields in technical communications are careful to use the terms "mass," "force," and "weight" properly. On the other hand, in ordinary language most people, including scientists and engineers, omit the designation of "force" or "mass" associated with the pound or kilogram but pick up the meaning from the context of the statement. No one gets confused by the fact that a man is 6 feet tall but has only two feet. Similarly, you should interpret the statement that a bottle "weighs" 5 kg as meaning that the bottle has a mass of 5 kg and is attracted to the earth's surface with a force equal to

$$
(5 \mathrm{~kg})\left(9.80 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)=49(\mathrm{~kg})(\mathrm{m})\left(\mathrm{s}^{-2}\right) \quad \text { if } g=9.80 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}
$$

Perhaps one of these days people will speak of "massing" instead of "weighing" and "masi-minding" instead of "weight-watching," but probably not spon. In this book, we will not subscript the symbol lb with m (for mass) or $\mathbf{f}$ (for force) unless it becomes essential to do so to avoid confusion. We will always mean by the unit lb without a subscript the quantity pound mass.

## EXAMPLE 1.4 Use of $g_{c}$

One hundred pounds of water is flowing through a pipe at the rate of $10.0 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$. What is the kinetic energy of this water in $(f t)\left(\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ ?

## Solution

$$
\text { kinetic energy }=K=\frac{1}{2} m v^{2}
$$

Assurne that the 100 lb of water means the mass of the water.

$$
\left.K=\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|}
1 & 100 \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}} & (10 \mathrm{ft} \\
\hline 2
\end{array}\right)^{2} \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 32.174 \frac{(\mathrm{ft})\left(1 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}
\end{aligned}=155(\mathrm{ft})\left(\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)\right.
$$

## EXAMPLE 1.5 Use of $g$ c

What is the potential energy in $(\mathrm{ft})\left(\mathrm{lb}_{i}\right)$ of a $100-\mathrm{lb}$ drum hanging 10 ft above the surface of the earth with reference to the surface of the earth?

## Sec. 1.1 Units and Dimensions

## Solution

$$
\text { potential energy }=P=m g h
$$

Assume that the 100 lb means 100 lb mass; $g=$ acceleration of gravity $=32.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.

$$
P=\begin{array}{l|c|c|l}
100 \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}} & 32.2 \mathrm{ft} & 10 \mathrm{ft} & \\
\hline & \mathrm{~s}^{2} & & 32.174 \frac{(\mathrm{ft})\left(\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}
\end{array}=1001(\mathrm{ft})\left(\mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)
$$

Notice that in the ratio of $g / g_{c}$, or $32.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ divided by $32.174\left(\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{lb}_{m} / \mathrm{lb}\right)$, the numerical values are almost equal. A good many people would solve the problem by saying that $100 \mathrm{lb} \times 10 \mathrm{ft}=1000(\mathrm{ft})(\mathrm{lb})$ without realizing that in effect they are canceling out the numbers in tre $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}_{\text {c }}$ ratio.

## EXAMPLE 1.6 Weight

What is the difference in the weight in newtons of a $100-\mathrm{kg}$ rocket at height of 10 km above the surface of the earth, where $g=9.76 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, as opposed to its weight on the surface of the earth, where $\}=9.80 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ?

## Solution

The weight in newtons can be computed in each case from Eq. (1.1) with $a=g$ if we ignore the tiny effect of centripetal acceleration resulting from the rotation of the earth (less than $0.3 \%$ ):

$$
\text { weight difference }=\begin{array}{l|c|c|}
100 \mathrm{~kg} & (9.80-9.76) \mathrm{m} & 1 \mathrm{~N} \\
\hline & \mathrm{~s}^{2} & \frac{(\mathrm{~kg})(\mathrm{m})}{\mathrm{s}^{2}}
\end{array}=4.00 \mathrm{~N}
$$

Note that the concept of weight is not particularly useful in treating the dynamics of longrange ballistic missiles or of earth satellites because the earth is both round and fotating.

You should develop some facility in converting units from the SI system into the American engineering system, and vice versa, since these are the wo sets of units in this text. Certainly you are familiar with the common conversions in both the Americarı engineering and the SI systems. If you have forgotten, Table 1.3 lists a short selection of essential conversion factors. Memorize them. Common abbreviations and symbols also appear in this table.

The distinction between uppercase and lowercase letters should be followed, even if the symbol appears in applications where the other lettering is in uppercase style. Unit abbreviations have the same form for both singular and plural, and they are not followed by a period (except in the case of inches).

Other useful conversion factors are discussed in subsequent sections of this book.

One of the best features of the SI system is that (except for time) units and their multiples and submultiples are related by standard factors designated by the prefixes indicated in Table 1.4. Prefixes are not preferred for use in denominators (except for

TABLE 1.3 Basic Conversion Factors*

| Dimension | American engineering | 51 | Conversion: American engineering to Sl |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | $\begin{aligned} 12 \mathrm{in.} & =1 \mathrm{ft} \\ 3 \mathrm{ft} & =1 \mathrm{yd} \\ 5280 \mathrm{ft} & =1 \mathrm{mi} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 10 \mathrm{~mm}^{\dagger} & =1 \mathrm{~cm}^{\dagger} \\ 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{\dagger} & =1 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 1 \mathrm{in} & =2.54 \mathrm{~cm} \\ 3.28 \mathrm{ft} & =1 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | $1 \mathrm{ft}^{3}=7.48 \mathrm{gal}$ | $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3+}=1 \mathrm{~L}^{\dagger}$ | $35.31 \mathrm{ft}^{3}=1.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Density | $1 \mathrm{ft}^{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=62: 4 \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3+} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & =1 \mathrm{~g} \\ 1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & =1000 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Mass | $1 \operatorname{ton}_{m}=2000 \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\ddagger}$ | $1000 \mathrm{~g}=1 \mathrm{~kg}$ | $1 \mathrm{lb}=0.454 \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| Time | $\begin{aligned} 1 \mathrm{~min} & =60 \mathrm{~s} \\ 1 \mathrm{hr} & =60 \mathrm{~min} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 1 \min \dagger & =60 \mathrm{~s} \\ 1 \mathrm{ht} & =60 \mathrm{~min}^{\dagger} \end{aligned}$ | - |

*Some conversion factors in this table are approximate but have sufficient precision for engineering calculations.
${ }^{\dagger}$ An acceptable but not preferred unit in the SI system.
${ }^{\ddagger} 2000 \mathrm{Jb}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the "short ton"; $2240 \mathrm{lb}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the "long ton"; $1000 \mathrm{~kg}=2204.6 \mathrm{lb}$ is the "metric ton."

TABLE 1.4 SI Prefixes

| Factor | Prefix | Symbol | Factor | Prefix | Symbol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{13}$ | exa | E | $10^{-1}$ | dec ${ }^{*}$ | d |
| $10^{1 . i}$ | penta | P | $10^{-2}$ | cendi* | c |
| $10^{3}$ | tera | T | $10^{-3}$ | milli | m |
| $10^{9}$ | giga | G | $10^{-6}$ | miço | $\mu$ |
| $10^{6}$ | mega | M | $10^{-9}$ | nand | n |
| $10^{3}$ | kilo | k | $10^{-12}$ | pico | p |
| $10^{2}$ | hecto* |  | $10^{-15}$ | femo | f |
| $10^{1}$ | deka* | da | $10^{-18}$ | atto | a |

kg ). Do not use double prefixes; that is, use nanometer, not millimicrometer. The strict use of these prefixes leads to some amusing combinations of noneuphonious sounds, such as nanonewton, nembujoule, and so forth. Also, some confusion is certain to arise because the prefix $M$ can be confused with $m$ as well as with $M \approx 1000$ derived from the Roman numerical. When a compound unit is formed by multipilation of two or more other units, its symbol consists of the symbols for the separate units joined by a centered dot (e.g., $\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ for newton meter). The dot may be omitted in the case of familiar units such as watthour (symbol Wh) if no confusion will result, or if the symbols are separated by exponents, as in $N \cdot m^{2} k g^{-2}$. Hy-


[^0]:    *Unit derived from basic units; all energy units are derived

