SOLUTION The shear areas are

$$A_{gv} = \frac{3}{8}(7.5) = 2.813 \text{ in.}^2$$

and, since there are 2.5 hole diameters,

$$A_{nv} = \frac{3}{8} \left[7.5 - 2.5 \left(\frac{7}{8} + \frac{1}{8} \right) \right] = 1.875 \text{ in.}^2$$

The tension area is

$$A_{nt} = \frac{3}{8} \left[1.5 - 0.5 \left(\frac{7}{8} + \frac{1}{8} \right) \right] = 0.3750 \text{ in.}^2$$

(The factor of 0.5 is used because there is one-half of a hole diameter in the tension section.)

Since the block shear will occur in an angle, $U_{bs} = 1.0$, and from AISC Equation J4-5,

$$R_n = 0.6F_u A_{nv} + U_{bs} F_u A_{nt}$$

= 0.6(58)(1.875) + 1.0(58)(0.3750) = 87.00 kips

with an upper limit of

$$0.6F_{v}A_{gv} + U_{bs}F_{u}A_{nt} = 0.6(36)(2.813) + 1.0(58)(0.3750) = 82.51$$
 kips

The nominal block shear strength is therefore 82.51 kips.

ANSWER a. The design strength for LRFD is $\phi R_n = 0.75(82.51) = 61.9$ kips.

b. The allowable strength for ASD is
$$\frac{R_n}{\Omega} = \frac{82.51}{2.00} = 41.3$$
 kips.

3.6 DESIGN OF TENSION MEMBERS

The design of a tension member involves finding a member with adequate gross and net areas. If the member has a bolted connection, the selection of a suitable cross section requires an accounting for the area lost because of holes. For a member with a rectangular cross section, the calculations are relatively straightforward. If a rolled shape is to be used, however, the area to be deducted cannot be predicted in advance because the member•s thickness at the location of the holes is not known.

A secondary consideration in the design of tension members is slenderness. If a structural member has a small cross section in relation to its length, it is said to be *slender*. A more precise measure is the slenderness ratio, L/r, where L is the member length and r is the minimum radius of gyration of the cross-sectional area. The minimum radius

of gyration is the one corresponding to the minor principal axis of the cross section. This value is tabulated for all rolled shapes in the properties tables in Part 1 of the *Manual*.

Although slenderness is critical to the strength of a compression member, it is inconsequential for a tension member. In many situations, however, it is good practice to limit the slenderness of tension members. If the axial load in a slender tension member is removed and small transverse loads are applied, undesirable vibrations or deflections might occur. These conditions could occur, for example, in a slack bracing rod subjected to wind loads. For this reason, the user note in AISC D1 suggests a maximum slenderness ratio of 300. It is only a recommended value because slenderness has no structural significance for tension members, and the limit may be exceeded when special circumstances warrant it. This limit does not apply to cables, and the user note explicitly excludes rods.

The central problem of all member design, including tension member design, is to find a cross section for which the required strength does not exceed the available strength. For tension members designed by LRFD, the requirement is

$$P_u \leq \phi_t P_n$$
 or $\phi_t P_n \geq P_u$

where P_u is the sum of the factored loads. To prevent yielding,

$$0.90F_yA_g \ge P_u$$
 or $A_g \ge \frac{P_u}{0.90F_v}$

To avoid fracture,

$$0.75F_u A_e \ge P_u$$
 or $A_e \ge \frac{P_u}{0.75F_u}$

For allowable strength design, if we use the allowable *stress* form, the requirement corresponding to yielding is

 $P_a \leq F_t A_g$

and the required gross area is

$$A_g \ge \frac{P_a}{F_t}$$
 or $A_g \ge \frac{P_a}{0.6F_y}$

For the limit state of fracture, the required effective area is

$$A_e \ge \frac{P_a}{F_t}$$
 or $A_e \ge \frac{P_a}{0.5F_u}$

The slenderness ratio limitation will be satisfied if

$$r \ge \frac{L}{300}$$

where r is the minimum radius of gyration of the cross section and L is the member length.