EXAMPLE 3.1

 $A^{1/2} \times 5$ plate of A36 steel is used as a tension member. It is connected to a gusset plate with four ⁵/₈-inch-diameter bolts as shown in Figure 3.3. Assume that the effective net area A_e equals the actual net area A_n (we cover computation of effective net area in Section 3.3).

- a. What is the design strength for LRFD?
- b. What is the allowable strength for ASD?



SOLUTION

For yielding of the gross section,

 $A_g = 5(1/2) = 2.5$ in.²

and the nominal strength is

$$P_n = F_v A_g = 36(2.5) = 90.0$$
 kips

For fracture of the net section,

 $A_n = A_g - A_{holes} = 2.5 - (\frac{1}{2})(\frac{3}{4}) \times 2$ holes = 2.5 - 0.75 = 1.75 in.²

 $A_e = A_n = 1.75$ in.² (This is true for this example, but A_e does not always equal A_n .)

The nominal strength is

 $P_n = F_u A_e = 58(1.75) = 101.5$ kips

a. The design strength based on yielding is

 $\phi_t P_n = 0.90(90) = 81.0$ kips

The design strength based on fracture is

 $\phi_t P_n = 0.75(101.5) = 76.1$ kips

ANSWER The design strength for LRFD is the smaller value: $\phi_t P_n = 76.1$ kips.

b. The allowable strength based on yielding is

$$\frac{P_n}{\Omega_t} = \frac{90}{1.67} = 53.9$$
 kips

The allowable strength based on fracture is

$$\frac{P_n}{\Omega_t} = \frac{101.5}{2.00} = 50.8$$
 kips

ANSWER The allowable service load is the smaller value = 50.8 kips.

Alternative Solution Using Allowable Stress: For yielding,

 $F_t = 0.6F_y = 0.6(36) = 21.6$ ksi

and the allowable load is

 $F_t A_g = 21.6(2.5) = 54.0$ kips

(The slight difference between this value and the one based on allowable strength is because the value of Ω in the allowable strength approach has been rounded from 5/3 to 1.67; the value based on the allowable stress is the more accurate one.) For fracture,

 $F_t = 0.5F_{\mu} = 0.5(58) = 29.0$ ksi

and the allowable load is

 $F_t A_e = 29.0(1.75) = 50.8$ kips

ANSWER The allowable service load is the smaller value = 50.8 kips.

Because of the relationship given by Equation 2.8, the allowable strength will always be equal to the design strength divided by 1.5. In this book, however, we will do the complete computation of allowable strength even when the design strength is available.

The effects of stress concentrations at holes appear to have been overlooked. In reality, stresses at holes can be as high as three times the average stress on the net section, and at fillets of rolled shapes they can be more than twice the average (McGuire, 1968). Because of the ductile nature of structural steel, the usual design practice is to neglect such localized overstress. After yielding begins at a point of stress concentration, additional stress is transferred to adjacent areas of the cross section. This stress redistribution is responsible for the "forgiving" nature of structural steel. Its ductility permits the initially yielded zone to deform without fracture as the stress on the remainder of the cross section continues to increase. Under certain conditions, however, steel may lose its ductility and stress concentrations can precipitate brittle fracture. These situations include fatigue loading and extremely low temperature.