## BEARING CAPACITY <br> OF FOUNDATIONS

## INTRODUCTION

The soil must be capable of carrying the loads from any engineered structure placed upon it without a shear failure and with the resulting settlements being acceptable for that structure.
A soil shear failure can result in excessive building distortion and even collapse whereas excessive settlements can result in structural damage to a building frame.
It is necessary to investigate both base shear resistance and settlements for any structure.

The recommendation for the allowable bearing capacity $\boldsymbol{q}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ to be used for design is based on the minimum of either

1. Limiting the settlement to acceptable amount.
2. The ultimate bearing capacity, which considers soil strength, as computed in the following sections.
The allowable bearing capacity based on shear control $\boldsymbol{q}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ is obtained by reducing (or dividing) the ultimate bearing capacity $\boldsymbol{q}_{\text {ult }}$ (based on soil strength) by a safety factor $\mathbf{S F}$ that is deemed adequate to avoid a base shear failure to obtain

$$
\boldsymbol{q}_{a}=\frac{\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathrm{ult}}}{\mathrm{SF}}
$$

## BEARING CAPACITY

From Fig. 4-1 $a$ and Fig. 4-2 it is evident we have two potential failure modes, where the footing, when loaded to produce the maximum bearing pressure $\boldsymbol{q}_{\text {ult }}$, will do one or both of the following: $a$. Rotate as in Fig. 4-1a about some center of rotation (probably along the vertical line $O a$ ) with shear resistance developed along the perimeter of the slip zone shown as a circle.
$b$. Punch into the ground as the wedge $a g b$ of Fig. 4-2 or the approximate wedge $\mathrm{ObO}^{\prime}$ of Fig. 4$1 a$.

It should be apparent that both modes of potential failure develop the limiting soil shear strength along the slip path according to the shear strength equation given as
$\boldsymbol{s}=\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tan \phi$

(a) Footing on $\phi=0^{\circ}$ soil.

Note: $\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}=\rho_{0}^{\prime}=\gamma^{\prime} \mathbf{D}$, but use $\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}$, since this is the accepted symbol for bearing capacity computations.


(c) Mohr's circle for (a) and for a $\phi-c$ soil.
(b) Physical meaning of Eq. (2-52) for shear streagth.
Figure 4-1 Bearing capacity approximation on a $\phi=0$ soil.


Figure 4-2 Simplified bearing capacity for a $\phi-c$ soil.

## BEARING-CAPACITY EQUATIONS

There is currently no method of obtaining the ultimate bearing capacity of a foundation other than as an estimate.

## The Terzaghi Bearing-Capacity Equation

One of the early sets of bearing-capacity equations was proposed by Terzaghi (1943) using the theory of plasticity to analyze the punching of a rigid base into a softer (soil) material as shown in Table 4-1.
Terzaghi's bearing-capacity equations were intended for "shallow" foundations where $\quad \mathrm{D} \leq \mathrm{B}$ Note that the original equation for ultimate bearing capacity is derived only for the plane-strain case (i.e., for continuous foundations).
Since the soil wedge beneath round and square bases is much closer to a triaxial than plane strain state, the adjustment of $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ to $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathrm{ps}}$ is recommended only when $L / B>2$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{\mathrm{ps}}=1.50 \phi_{\mathrm{tr}}-17^{\circ} & \left(\phi_{\mathrm{tr}}>34^{\circ}\right) \\
\phi_{\mathrm{ps}}=\phi_{\mathrm{tr}} & \left(\phi_{\mathrm{tr}} \leq 34^{\circ}\right)
\end{array}
$$

TABLE 4-1

## Bearing-capacity equations by the several authors indicated

Terzaghi (1943). See Table 4-2 for typical values and for $K_{p y}$ values.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{\mathrm{ult}}=c N_{c} s_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q}+0.5 \gamma B N_{\gamma} s_{\gamma} & N_{q}=\frac{a^{2}}{a \cos ^{2}(45+\phi / 2)} \\
& a=e^{(0.75 \pi-\phi / 2) \tan \phi} \\
& N_{c}=\left(N_{q}-1\right) \cot \phi \\
& N_{\gamma}=\frac{\tan \phi}{2}\left(\frac{K_{p \gamma}}{\cos ^{2} \phi}-1\right)
\end{array}
$$

For: strip round square
$s_{c}=1.0 \quad 1.3$
1.3
$s_{\gamma}=1.0 \quad 0.6$
0.8

Meyerhof (1963).* See Table 4-3 for shape, depth, and inclination factors.

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{u l t}=c N_{c} S_{c} d_{c} i_{c}+\bar{q} & N_{q} S_{q} d_{q} i_{q}+0.5 \gamma B N_{\gamma} S_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} i_{\gamma} \\
N_{q} & =e^{\pi \tan \phi} \tan ^{2}\left(45+\frac{\phi}{2}\right) \\
N_{c} & =\left(N_{q}-1\right) \cot \phi \\
N_{\gamma} & =\left(N_{q}-1\right) \tan (1.4 \phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hansen (1970).* See Table 4-5 for shape, depth, and other factors.

```
General: \(\dagger \quad q_{\text {ult }}=c N_{c} s_{c} d_{c} i_{c} g_{c} b_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q} s_{q} d_{q} i_{q} g_{q} b_{q}+0.5 \gamma B^{\prime} N_{\gamma} s_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} i_{\gamma} g_{\gamma} b_{\gamma}\)
when \(\quad \phi=0\)
use \(\quad q_{\mathrm{ult}}=5.14 s_{u}\left(1+s_{c}^{\prime}+d_{c}^{\prime}-i_{c}^{\prime}-b_{c}^{\prime}-g_{c}^{\prime}\right)+\bar{q}\)
\(N_{q}=\) same as Meyerhof above
\(N_{c}=\) same as Meyerhof above
\(N_{\gamma}=1.5\left(N_{q}-1\right) \tan \phi\)
```

Vesić (1973, 1975).* See Table 4-5 for shape, depth, and other factors.
Use Hansen's equations above.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{q}=\text { same as Meyerhof above } \\
& N_{c}=\text { same as Meyerhof above } \\
& N_{\gamma}=2\left(N_{q}+1\right) \tan \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]Table 4-2 Terzaghi Bearing capacity factors :-Eqs. (4.15), (4.13), and (4.11). ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{q}}{ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\prime}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{q}}{ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 5.70 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 26 | 27.09 | 14.21 | 9.84 |
| 1 | 6.00 | 1.10 | 0.01 | 27 | 29.24 | 15.90 | 11.60 |
| 2 | 6.30 | 1.22 | 0.04 | 28 | 31.61 | 17.81 | 13.70 |
| 3 | 6.62 | 1.35 | 0.06 | 29 | 34.24 | 19.98 | 16.18 |
| 4 | 6.97 | 1.49 | 0.10 | 30 | 37.16 | 22.46 | 19.13 |
| 5 | 7.34 | 1.64 | 0.14 | 31 | 40.41 | 25.28 | 22.65 |
| 6 | 7.73 | 1.81 | 0.20 | 32 | 44.04 | 28.52 | 26.87 |
| 7 | 8.15 | 2.00 | 0.27 | 33 | 48.09 | 32.23 | 31.94 |
| 8 | 8.60 | 2.21 | 0.35 | 34 | 52.64 | 36.50 | 38.04 |
| 9 | 9.09 | 2.44 | 0.44 | 35 | 57.75 | 41.44 | 45.41 |
| 10 | 9.61 | 2.69 | 0.56 | 36 | 63.53 | 47.16 | 54.36 |
| 11 | 10.16 | 2.98 | 0.69 | 37 | 70.01 | 53.80 | 65.27 |
| 12 | 10.76 | 3.29 | 0.85 | 38 | 77.50 | 61.55 | 78.61 |
| 13 | 11.41 | 3.63 | 1.04 | 39 | 85.97 | 70.61 | 95.03 |
| 14 | 12.11 | 4.02 | 1.26 | 40 | 95.66 | 81.27 | 115.31 |
| 15 | 12.86 | 4.45 | 1.52 | 41 | 106.81 | 93.85 | 140.51 |
| 16 | 13.68 | 4.92 | 1.82 | 42 | 119.67 | 108.75 | 171.99 |
| 17 | 14.60 | 5.45 | 2.18 | 43 | 134.58 | 126.50 | 211.56 |
| 18 | 15.12 | 6.04 | 2.59 | 44 | 151.95 | 147.74 | 261.60 |
| 19 | 16.56 | 6.70 | 3.07 | 45 | 172.28 | 173.28 | 325.34 |
| 20 | 17.69 | 7.44 | 3.64 | 46 | 196.22 | 204.19 | 407.11 |
| 21 | 18.92 | 8.26 | 4.31 | 47 | 224.55 | 241.80 | 512.84 |
| 22 | 20.27 | 9.19 | 5.09 | 48 | 258.28 | 287.85 | 650.67 |
| 23 | 21.75 | 10.23 | 6.00 | 49 | 298.71 | 344.63 | 831.99 |
| 24 | 23.36 | 11.40 | 7.08 | 50 | 347.50 | 415.14 | 1072.80 |
| 25 | 25.13 | 12.72 | 8.34 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ From Kumbhoikar (1993)

The bearing capacity factors $N_{c}, N_{q}$, and $N_{\gamma}$ are, respectively, the contributions of cohesion, surcharge, and unit weight of soil to the ultimate load-bearing capacity.

## BEARING-CAPACITY EXAMPLES

Example 4-0. Compute the allowable bearing pressure using the Terzaghi equation for the square footing and soil parameters shown in Figure below. Use a safety factor of 3 to obtain $\boldsymbol{q}_{a}$.


## Solution.

Find the bearing capacity. Note that this value is usually what a geotechnical consultant would have to recommend ( $B$ not known but $D$ is).
Since the footing is square $(B=L)$, no adjustment of $\phi$ value is required.
From Table 4-2 obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{N}_{c} & =\mathbf{1 7 . 7} \quad \boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{q}}=7.4 \quad \boldsymbol{N}_{\gamma}=3.64 \\
\boldsymbol{s}_{\boldsymbol{c}} & =\mathbf{1 . 3} \quad \boldsymbol{s}_{\gamma}=\mathbf{0 . 8} \quad \text { (from table 4-1, square footing) } \\
\boldsymbol{q}_{u l t} & =\boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{s}_{\boldsymbol{c}}+\overline{\boldsymbol{q}} \boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{q}}+\mathbf{0 . 5 \gamma B} \boldsymbol{N}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{s}_{\gamma} \\
& =20(17.7)(1.3)+1.2(17.3)(7.4)+0.5(17.3)(\boldsymbol{B})(3.64)(0.8) \\
& =(613.8+25.2 \boldsymbol{B}) \mathrm{kPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

The allowable pressure ( $\mathrm{SF}=3$ is commonly used when $c>0$ ) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{a} & =\frac{q_{\mathrm{ult}}^{\mathrm{SF}}}{} \\
& =\frac{613.8+25.2 \mathrm{~B}}{3}=(205+8.4 \mathrm{~B}) \mathrm{kPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since B is likely to range from 1.5 to 3 m

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { at } \mathrm{B}=1.5 \mathrm{~m} & q_{a}=205+8.4(1.5)=218 \mathrm{kPa} \text { (rounding) } \\
\text { at } \mathrm{B}=3 \mathrm{~m} & q_{a}=205+8.4(3)=230 \mathrm{kPa}
\end{array}
$$

Recommend $q_{a}=215 \sim 230 \mathrm{kPa}$

## Example 4.1

A square foundation is $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ in plan. The soil supporting the foundation has a friction angle of $\phi=25^{\circ}$ and $c=20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. The unit weight of soil, $\gamma$, is $16.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.
Determine the allowable gross load on the foundation using Terzaghi Bearing Capacity Equations with a factor of safety (FS) of 3.
Assume that the depth of the foundation $\left(D_{f}\right)$ is 1.5 m and that general shear failure occurs in the soil.

## Solution

Since the footing is square $(B=L)$, no adjustment of $\phi$ value is required.

$$
q_{u l t}=c N_{c} s_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q}+0.5 \gamma B N_{\gamma} s_{\gamma}
$$

$\boldsymbol{s}_{\boldsymbol{c}}=1.3 \quad \boldsymbol{s}_{\gamma}=0.8 \quad$ (from table 4-1, square footing)
At $\mathrm{B}=2.0 \mathrm{~m}$
From Table 4.1, for $\phi^{\prime}=25^{\circ}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{c}=25.13 \\
& N_{q}=12.72 \\
& N_{\gamma}=8.34
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{u l t}=(20)(25.13)(1.3)+(1.5 \times 16.5)(12.72)+(0.5)(16.5)(2)(8.34)(0.8) \\
&= 653.38+314.82+110.09=1078.29 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the allowable load per unit area of the foundation is

$$
q_{a}=\frac{q_{u l t}}{F S}=\frac{1078.29}{3}=359.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
$$

Thus, the total allowable gross load is

$$
Q=(359.5) B^{2}=(359.5)(2 \times 2)=1438 \mathrm{kN}
$$

H.W: Resolve the same example assuming the foundation is circular with a diameter of $\mathbf{3 m}$.

## Example 4.2

Refer to Example 4.1. Assume that the shear-strength parameters of the soil are the same. A square foundation measuring $B \times B$ will be subjected to an allowable gross load of 1000 kN with $\mathrm{FS}=3$ and $D_{f}=1 \mathrm{~m}$. Determine the size $B$ of the foundation.

## Solution

Allowable gross load $Q=1000 \mathrm{kN}$ with FS $=3$. Hence, the ultimate load $\boldsymbol{Q}_{u l t}=\left(Q_{u}\right) /(F S)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(1000)(3)=3000 \mathrm{kN} . \text { So, } \\
& \boldsymbol{q}_{u l t}=\frac{\boldsymbol{Q}_{u}}{\boldsymbol{B}^{\mathbf{2}}}=\frac{\mathbf{3 0 0 0}}{\boldsymbol{B}^{\mathbf{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$q_{u l t}=c N_{c} s_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q}+0.5 \gamma B N_{\gamma} s_{\gamma}$

For $\phi^{\prime}=25^{\circ}, N_{c}=25.13, N_{q}=12.72$, and $N_{\gamma}=8.34$.
Also,

$$
q=\gamma D_{f}=(16.5)(1)=16.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{u l t} & =(20)(25.13)(1.3)+(16.5)(12.72)+(0.5)(16.5)(B)(8.34)(0.8) \\
& =863.26+55.04 \mathrm{~B} \tag{b}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining Eqs. (a) and (b),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{3000}{B^{2}}=863.26+55.04 B \tag{c}
\end{equation*}
$$

| B (m) | L.H.S | R.H.S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | $\mathbf{3 0 0 0}$ | 918.3 |
| 1.5 | 1333 | 945.8 |
| 2.0 | 750 | 973.3 |
| Try B=1.75m | $\mathbf{9 7 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 9 . 6}$ |

By trial and error, we have

$$
B=1.77 \mathrm{~m} \approx 1.8 \mathrm{~m}
$$

H.W.: Resolve the same example if the allowable gross load is 2500 kN .

Modification of Bearing Capacity Equations for Water Table
Equations in table 4.1 give the ultimate bearing capacity, based on the assumption that the water table is located well below the foundation. However, if the water table is close to the foundation, some modifications of the bearing capacity equations will be necessary. (See Figure below)


Case I. If the water table is located so that $\mathbf{0} \leq \boldsymbol{D 1} \leq \boldsymbol{D}_{f}$, the factor $q$ in the bearing capacity equations takes the form

$$
\bar{q}=\text { effective surcharge }=D_{1} \gamma+D_{2} \gamma
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\gamma}=\gamma_{\text {sat }}-\gamma_{w}$
$\gamma_{\text {sat }}=$ saturated unit weight of soil
$\gamma_{w}=$ unit weight of water $=10 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$

Also, the value of $\gamma$ in the last term of the equations has to be replaced by $\boldsymbol{\gamma}=\gamma_{\text {sat }}-\gamma_{w}$
Case II. For a water table located so that $\mathbf{O} \leq \boldsymbol{d} \leq \boldsymbol{B}$,

$$
\overline{\boldsymbol{q}}=\gamma D_{f}
$$

In this case, the factor $\gamma$ in the last term of the bearing capacity equations must be replaced by the factor

$$
\bar{\gamma}=\gamma^{\prime}+\frac{d}{B}\left(\gamma-\gamma^{\prime}\right)
$$

Case III. When the water table is located so that $\boldsymbol{d} \geq \boldsymbol{B}$, the water will have no effect on the ultimate bearing capacity.

Example 4-8. A square footing that is vertically and concentrically loaded is to be placed on a cohesionless soil as shown in Figure below. The soil and other data are as shown.


Required. What is the allowable bearing capacity using the Terzaghi equation and a $\mathrm{SF}=2.5$ ?

## Solution:

Since the footing is square ( $B=L$ ), no adjustment of $\phi$ value is required.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}=1.95-1.1=0.85 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{~B}=2.5 \mathrm{~m} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{d}<\mathrm{B} \\
& \bar{\gamma}=\gamma^{\prime}+\frac{d}{B}\left(\gamma-\gamma^{\prime}\right) \\
& \gamma=18.1 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \quad \gamma_{\text {sat }}=20.12 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \dot{\gamma}=\gamma_{\text {sat }}-\gamma_{w} \\
& \hat{\gamma}=20.12-10=10.12 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \bar{\gamma}=10.12+\frac{0.85}{2.5}(18.1-10.12)=12.83 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& q_{u l t}=c N_{c} s_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q}+0.5 \gamma B N_{\gamma} s_{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

From table 4.2 $\quad N_{c}=57.75 \quad N_{q}=41.44 \quad N_{\gamma}=45.41$
$\boldsymbol{s}_{c}=1.3 \quad \boldsymbol{s}_{\gamma}=0.8 \quad$ (from table 4-1, square footing)
for $B=2.5 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{u t i} & =0+1.1 \times 18.1 \times 41.44+0.5 \times 12.83 \times 2.5 \times 45.41 \times 0.8 \\
& =825.1+582.6=1407.7 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
q_{\mathrm{a}} & =1407.7 / 2.5=563 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=563 \mathrm{kPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

H.W: Resolve the same example assuming the water table is $\mathrm{A}: 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ below ground level B: 4.0 m below ground level
Meyerhof 's Bearing-Capacity Equation
Meyerhof $(1951,1963)$ proposed a bearing-capacity equation similar to that of Terzaghi but included a shape factor $\boldsymbol{s}_{q}$ with the depth term $\boldsymbol{N}_{q}$. He also included depth factors $\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and inclination
factors $\boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ for cases where the footing load is inclined from the vertical. These additions produce equations of the general form shown in Table 4-1, with select N factors computed in Table 4-4.

TABLE 4-3
Shape, depth, and inclination factors for the Meyerhof bearing-capacity equations of Table 4-1

| Factors | Value | For |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Shape: | $s_{c}=1+0.2 K_{p} \frac{B}{L}$ | Any $\phi$ |
|  | $s_{q}=s_{\gamma}=1+0.1 K_{p} \frac{B}{L}$ | $\phi>10^{\circ}$ |
|  | $s_{q}=s_{\gamma}=1$ | $\phi=0$ |

Depth:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
d_{c}=1+0.2 \sqrt{K_{p}} \frac{D}{B} & \text { Any } \phi \\
d_{q}=d_{\gamma}=1+0.1 \sqrt{K_{p}} \frac{D}{B} & \phi>10 \\
d_{q}=d_{\gamma}=1 & \phi=0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Inclination: } & i_{c}=i_{q}=\left(1-\frac{\theta^{\circ}}{90^{\circ}}\right)^{2} \quad \text { Any } \phi \\
R \quad V &
\end{array}
$$



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
i_{\gamma}=\left(1-\frac{\theta^{\circ}}{\phi^{\circ}}\right)^{2} & \phi>0 \\
i_{\gamma}=0 \text { for } \theta>0 & \phi=0
\end{array}
$$

Where $K_{p}=\tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2)$ as in Fig. 4-2
$\theta=$ angle of resultant $R$ measured from vertical without a sign; if $\theta=0$ all $i_{i}=1.0$.
$B, L, D=$ previously defined

TABLE 4-4

## Bearing-capacity factors for the Meyerhof, Hansen, and Vesić bearingcapacity equations

Note that $N_{c}$ and $N_{q}$ are the same for all three methods; subscripts identify author for $N_{\gamma}$

| $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{H})}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{M})}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{V})}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{q}} / \boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ | $2 \tan \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{1}-\sin \boldsymbol{\phi})^{2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 0 | $5.14^{*}$ | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.195 | 0.000 |
| 5 | 6.49 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.242 | 0.146 |
| 10 | 8.34 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.296 | 0.241 |
| 15 | 10.97 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 2.6 | 0.359 | 0.294 |
| 20 | 14.83 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 5.4 | 0.431 | 0.315 |
| 25 | 20.71 | 10.7 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 10.9 | 0.514 | 0.311 |
| 26 | 22.25 | 11.8 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 12.5 | 0.533 | 0.308 |
| 28 | 25.79 | 14.7 | 10.9 | 11.2 | 16.7 | 0.570 | 0.299 |
| 30 | 30.13 | 18.4 | 15.1 | 15.7 | 22.4 | 0.610 | 0.289 |
| 32 | 35.47 | 23.2 | 20.8 | 22.0 | 30.2 | 0.653 | 0.276 |
| 34 | 42.14 | 29.4 | 28.7 | 31.1 | 41.0 | 0.698 | 0.262 |
| 36 | 50.55 | 37.7 | 40.0 | 44.4 | 56.2 | 0.746 | 0.247 |
| 38 | 61.31 | 48.9 | 56.1 | 64.0 | 77.9 | 0.797 | 0.231 |
| 40 | 75.25 | 64.1 | 79.4 | 93.6 | 109.3 | 0.852 | 0.214 |
| 45 | 133.73 | 134.7 | 200.5 | 262.3 | 271.3 | 1.007 | 0.172 |
| 50 | 266.50 | 318.5 | 567.4 | 871.7 | 761.3 | 1.195 | 0.131 |

$*=\pi+2$ as limit when $\phi \rightarrow 0^{\circ}$.
Slight differences in above table can be obtained using program BEARING.EXE on diskette depending on computer used and whether or not it has floating point.

## Hansen's Bearing-Capacity Method

Hansen (1970) proposed the general bearing-capacity case and N factor equations shown in Table $4-1$. Hansen's shape, depth, and other factors making up the general bearing capacity equation are given in Table 4-5. The extensions include base factors for situations in which the footing is tilted from the horizontal $\boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and for the possibility of a slope $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ of the ground supporting the footing to give ground factors $g_{i}$.
Note that when the base is tilted, $\boldsymbol{V}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ are perpendicular and parallel, respectively, to the base, compared with when it is horizontal as shown in the sketch with Table 4-5c. The bearing capacity using $N$ factors as given in Table 4-4.
The Hansen equation can be used for both shallow (footings) and deep (piles, drilled caissons) bases.

TABLE 4-5a
Shape and depth factors for use in either the Hansen (1970) or Vesić (1973, 1975b) bearing-capacity equations of Table 4-1. Use $s_{c}^{\prime}$, $d_{c}^{\prime}$ when $\phi=0$ only for Hansen equations. Subscripts $H, V$ for Hansen, Vesić, respectively.

## Shape factors

Depth factors

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{c(H)}^{\prime} & =0.2 \frac{B^{\prime}}{L^{\prime}} \quad\left(\phi=0^{\circ}\right) \\
s_{c(H)} & =1.0+\frac{N_{q}}{N_{c}} \cdot \frac{B^{\prime}}{L^{\prime}} \\
s_{c(V)} & =1.0+\frac{N_{q}}{N_{c}} \cdot \frac{B}{L} \\
s_{c} & =1.0 \text { for strip }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{c}^{\prime} & =0.4 k \quad\left(\phi=0^{\circ}\right) \\
d_{c} & =1.0+0.4 k \\
k & =D / B \text { for } D / B \leq 1 \\
k & =\tan ^{-1}(D / B) \text { for } D / B>1
\end{aligned}
$$

| $s_{q(H)}=1.0+\frac{B^{\prime}}{L^{\prime}} \sin \phi$ | $d_{q}=1+2 \tan \phi(1-\sin \phi)^{2} k$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s_{q(V)}=1.0+\frac{B}{L} \tan \phi$ | $k$ defined above |

$k$ in radians

$$
s_{q(v)}=1.0+\frac{B}{L} \tan \phi
$$

for all $\phi$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
s_{\gamma(H)}=1.0-0.4 \frac{B^{\prime}}{L^{\prime}} & \geq 0.6 & d_{\gamma}=1.00 \quad \text { for all } \phi \\
s_{\gamma(V)}=1.0-0.4 \frac{B}{L} & \geq 0.6 &
\end{array}
$$

## Notes:

1. Note use of "effective" base dimensions $B^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ by Hansen but not by Vesić.
2. The values above are consistent with either a vertical load or a vertical load accompanied by a horizontal load $H_{B}$.
3. With a vertical load and a load $H_{L}$ (and either $H_{B}=0$ or $H_{B}>0$ ) you may have to compute two sets of shape $s_{i}$ and $d_{i}$ as $s_{i, B}, s_{i, L}$ and $d_{i, B}, d_{i, L}$. For $i, L$ subscripts of Eq. (4-2), presented in Sec. 4-6, use ratio $L^{\prime} / B^{\prime}$ or $D / L^{\prime}$.

## TABLE 4-5b

## Table of inclination, ground, and base factors for the Hansen (1970) equations. See Table 4-5c for equivalent Vesić equations.

## Inclination factors

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
i_{c}^{\prime}=0.5-0.5 \sqrt{1-\frac{H_{i}}{A_{f} C_{a}}} & g_{c}^{\prime}=\frac{\beta^{\circ}}{147^{\circ}} \\
i_{c}=i_{q}-\frac{1-i_{q}}{N_{q}-1} & g_{c}=1.0-\frac{\beta^{\circ}}{147^{\circ}} \\
i_{q}=\left[1-\frac{0.5 H_{i}}{V+A_{f} c_{a} \cot \phi}\right]^{\alpha_{1}} & g_{q}=g_{\gamma}=(1-0.5 \tan \beta)^{5} \\
2 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq 5 &
\end{array}
$$

## Ground factors (base on slope)

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
i_{\gamma} & =\left[1-\frac{0.7 H_{i}}{V+A_{f} c_{a} \cot \phi}\right]^{\alpha_{2}} & b_{c}^{\prime} & =\frac{\eta^{\circ}}{147^{\circ}} \quad(\phi=0) \\
i_{\gamma} & =\left[1-\frac{\left(0.7-\eta^{\circ} / 450^{\circ}\right) H_{i}}{V+A_{f} c_{a} \cot \phi}\right]^{\alpha_{2}} & b_{c} & =1-\frac{\eta^{\circ}}{147^{\circ}} \quad(\phi>0) \\
2 \leq \alpha_{2} \leq 5 & b_{q} & =\exp (-2 \eta \tan \phi) \\
b_{\gamma} & =\exp (-2.7 \eta \tan \phi)
\end{array}
$$

## Base factors (tilted base)

$\eta$ in radians

## Notes:

1. Use $H_{i}$ as either $H_{B}$ or $H_{L}$, or both if $H_{L}>0$.
2. Hansen (1970) did not give an $i_{c}$ for $\phi>0$. The value above is from Hansen (1961) and also used by Vesic.
3. Variable $c_{a}=$ base adhesion, on the order of 0.6 to $1.0 \times$ base cohesion.
4. Refer to sketch for identification of angles $\eta$ and $\beta$, footing depth $D$, location of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ (parallel and at top of base slab; usually also produces eccentricity). Especially note $V=$ force normal to base and is not the resultant $R$ from combining $V$ and $H_{i}$.

TABLE 4-5c
Table of inclination, ground, and base factors for the Vesic $(1973,1975)$ bearing-capacity equations. See notes below and refer to sketch for identification of terms.

## Inclination factors

$\begin{aligned} i_{c}^{\prime} & =1-\frac{m H_{i}}{A_{f} c_{a} N_{c}} & (\phi=0) & g_{c}^{\prime}=\frac{\beta}{5.14} \quad \beta \text { in radians } \\ i_{c} & =i_{q}-\frac{1-i_{q}}{N_{q}-1} & (\phi>0) & g_{c}=i_{q}-\frac{1-i_{q}}{5.14 \tan \phi} \quad \phi>0\end{aligned}$
$i_{q}$, and $\boldsymbol{m}$ defined below
$i_{q}=\left[1.0-\frac{H_{i}}{V+A_{f} c_{a} \cot \phi}\right]^{m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
i_{\gamma}= & {\left[1.0-\frac{H_{i}}{V+A_{f} c_{a} \cot \phi}\right]^{m+1} }
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{ll}
b_{c}^{\prime}=g_{c}^{\prime} \quad(\phi=0) \\
& m=m_{B}=\frac{2+B / L}{1+B / L} \\
& b_{c}=1-\frac{2 \beta}{5.14 \tan \phi} \\
& m=m_{L}=\frac{2+L / B}{1+L / B}
\end{array}
$$

$g_{q}=g_{\gamma}=(1.0-\tan \beta)^{2}$

## Base factors (tilted base)

## Ground factors (base on slope)

 $i_{q}$ defined with $i_{c}$$$
\delta q-\delta \gamma-(1.0 \operatorname{can} p)
$$

## Notes:

1. When $\phi=0$ (and $\beta \neq 0$ ) use $N_{\gamma}=-2 \sin ( \pm \beta)$ in $N_{\gamma}$ term.
2. Compute $m=m_{B}$ when $H_{i}=H_{B}$ ( $H$ parallel to $B$ ) and $m=m_{L}$ when $H_{i}=$ $H_{L}(H$ parallel to $L)$. If you have both $H_{B}$ and $H_{L}$ use $m=\sqrt{m_{B}^{2}+m_{L}^{2}}$. Note use of $B$ and $L$, not $B^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$.
3. Refer to Table sketch and Tables 4-5a,b for term identification.
4. Terms $N_{c}, N_{q}$, and $N_{\gamma}$ are identified in Table 4-1.
5. Vesić always uses the bearing-capacity equation given in Table 4-1 (uses $B^{\prime}$ in the $N_{\gamma}$ term even when $H_{i}=H_{L}$ ).
6. $H_{i}$ term $\leq 1.0$ for computing $i_{q}, i_{\gamma}$ (always).

Notes: $\beta+\eta 90^{\circ}$ (Both $\beta$ and $\eta$ have signs ( + ) shown.)
$\beta \quad \phi$


For: $L B \leq 2$ use $\phi_{\text {tr }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L B & >2 \text { use } \phi_{\mathrm{ps}}=1.5 \phi_{\mathrm{tr}}-17^{\circ} \\
\phi_{\mathrm{tr}} & \leq 34^{\circ} \text { use } \phi_{\mathrm{tr}}=\phi_{\mathrm{ps}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\delta=$ friction angle between base and soil $(.5 \phi \leq \delta \leq \phi)$
$A_{f}=B^{\prime} L^{\prime}$ (effective area)
$c_{a}=$ base adhesion ( 0.6 to $1.0 c$ )


Example 4-2: A footing load test made produced the following data:

| $D=0.5 \mathrm{~m} \quad B=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | $L=2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\gamma^{\prime}=9.31 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $\phi_{\text {triaxial }}=42.5^{\circ} \quad$ Cohesion $c=0$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {ult }}=1863 \mathrm{kN}$ (measured) | $q_{\text {ult }}=\frac{P_{\text {ult }}}{B L}=\frac{1863}{0.5 x 2}=1863 \mathrm{kPa}$ (computed) |

Required: Compute the ultimate bearing capacity by both Hansen and Meyerhof equations and compare these values with the measured value.
Solution:
a. Since $c=0$, any factors with subscript $c$ do not need computing. All $g_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ factors are 1.00; with these factors identified, the Hansen equation simplifies to

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{\mathrm{ult}}=\gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{DN} N_{q} s_{q} d_{q}+0.5 \gamma^{\prime} B N_{\gamma} S_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} \\
L / B=\frac{2}{0.5}=4 \rightarrow \phi_{\mathrm{ps}}=1.5(42.5)-17=46.75^{\circ} \\
\text { Use } \phi=47^{\circ}
\end{gathered}
$$

From a table of $\phi$ in $1^{\circ}$ increments (table not shown) obtain

$$
N_{q}=187 \quad N_{\gamma}=299
$$

Using linear interpolation of Table 4-4 gives 208.2 and 347.2. Using Table 4-5a one obtains [get the $2 \tan \phi(1-\sin \phi)^{2}$ part of $d_{q}$ term from Table 4-4] the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{q(H)} & =1+\frac{B^{\prime}}{L^{\prime}} \sin \phi=1.18 \quad s_{\gamma(H)}=1-0.4 \frac{B^{\prime}}{L^{\prime}}=0.9 \\
d_{q} & =1+2 \tan \phi(1-\sin \phi)^{2} \frac{D}{B^{\prime}}=1+0.155 \frac{D}{B^{\prime}} \\
& =1+0.155\left(\frac{0.5}{0.5}\right)=1.155 \quad d_{\gamma}=1.0
\end{aligned}
$$

With these values we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{u l t} & =9.31(0.5)(187)(1.18)(1.155)+0.5(9.31)(0.5)(299)(0.9)(1) \\
& =1812 \mathrm{kPa} \text { vs. } 1863 \mathrm{kPa} \text { measured }
\end{aligned}
$$

b. By the Meyerhof equations of Table 4-1 and 4-3, and $\phi_{\mathrm{ps}}=47^{\circ}$, we can proceed as follows:

Step 1. Obtain $N_{q}=187$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{\gamma} & =\left(N_{q}-1\right) \tan (1.4 \phi)=413.6 \rightarrow 414 \\
K_{p} & =\tan ^{2}\left(45+\frac{\phi}{2}\right)=6.44 \rightarrow \sqrt{K_{p}}=2.54 \\
s_{q} & =s_{\gamma}=1+0.1 K_{p} \frac{B}{L}=1+0.1(6.44) \frac{0.5}{2.0}=1.16 \\
d_{q} & =d_{\gamma}=1+0.1 \sqrt{K_{p}} \frac{D}{B}=1+0.1(2.54) \frac{0.5}{0.5}=1.25
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. Substitute into the Meyerhof equation (ignoring any $\boldsymbol{c}$ subscripts):

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{\mathrm{uth}} & =\gamma^{\prime} D N_{q} s_{q} d_{q}+0.5 \gamma B N_{\gamma} s_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} \\
& =9.31(0.5)(187)(1.16)(1.25)+0.5(9.31)(0.5)(414)(1.16)(1.25) \\
& =1262+1397=2659 \mathrm{kPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example 4-3:

A series of large-scale footing bearing-capacity tests were performed on soft saturated clay ( $\phi$ $=0$ ). One of the tests consisted of a $1.05-\mathrm{m}$-square footing at a depth $D=1.5 \mathrm{~m}$. At a 25 mm . settlement the load was approximately 16.1 tons from interpretation of the given load-settlement curve. Unconfined compression and shear tests gave values as follows:
$q_{u}=3.0 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \quad c=1.92 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, the unit weight of soil is $17.5 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Required: Compute the ultimate bearing capacity by the Hansen equations and compare with the load-test value of 16.1 tons.
Solution: Obtain $N, s_{i}^{\prime}$, and $d_{i}^{\prime}$ factors. Since $\phi=0^{\circ}$, we have $N_{c}=5.14$ and $N_{q}=1.0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{c}^{\prime}=0.2 \frac{B}{L}=0.2 \frac{1}{1}=0.2 \\
& d_{c}^{\prime}=0.4 \tan ^{-1} \frac{D}{B}=0.4 \tan ^{-1} \frac{1.5}{1.05}=0.38 \quad(D>B)
\end{aligned}
$$

$q_{u l t}=5.14 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{u}}\left(1+s^{\prime}{ }_{c}+d^{\prime}{ }_{c}\right)+\bar{q} \quad$ Table 4-1 for $\phi=0$ case
$c=1.92 \times 10=19.2 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \quad(10$ converts ton to kN$)$
$q_{u l t}=5.14(19.2)(1+0.2+0.38)+17.5 \times 1.5=182.2 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
From load test, $\boldsymbol{q}_{\text {actual }}=16.1 / 1.05^{2}=14.6 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=146 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
If we use the unconfined compression tests and take $c=q_{u} / 2$, we obtain
$q_{u l t}=(1.5 / 1.92) \times 182.2=142.4 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

## Example 4.5

A square column foundation (see figure below) is to be constructed on a fine sand deposit. The allowable load $Q$ will be inclined at an angle $\beta=20^{\circ}$ with the vertical. The standard penetration numbers $N_{70}$ obtained from the field are as follows.


Determine Q using Meyerhof bearing capacity equations, use F.S $=3$
Solution:
The average SPT number is $(5+4+9+7+8+8) / 6=6.83$
From table 3-4, the soil can be classified as medium density fine sand and the angle of internal friction $(\phi)$ is estimated to be $=30^{\circ}$
Since the footing is square ( $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{L}$ ), no adjustment of $\phi$ value is required
The general form of Meyerhof B.C equation is:
$q_{u l t}=c N_{c} s_{c} d_{c} i_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q} s_{q} d_{q} i_{q}+0.5 \gamma B N_{\gamma} s_{\gamma} d_{\gamma} i_{\gamma}$
From table 4-4 and for $\phi=30^{\circ}$, we have $N_{c}=30.13, N_{q}=18.4$ and $N_{\gamma}=15.7$
Since $c=0$, any factors with subscript $c$ do not need computing.

$$
\text { for } \phi>10^{0} \quad s_{q}=s_{\gamma}=1+0.1 K_{p} \frac{B}{L}
$$

where $K_{p}=\tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2)=\tan ^{2}(45+30 / 2)=3.0$
$\therefore \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{\gamma}}=1+0.1 \times 3 \times \frac{1.25}{1.25}=1.3$
for $\phi>10^{0} \quad d_{q}=d_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}=1+0.1 \sqrt{K_{p}} \frac{D}{\boldsymbol{B}}$

$$
\therefore \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{y}}=1+0.1 \sqrt{3} \frac{0.7}{1.25}=1.097 \approx 1.1
$$

for any $\phi$

$$
i_{c}=i_{q}=\left(1-\frac{\theta^{\circ}}{90^{\circ}}\right)^{2}
$$

| Factors | Value | For |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shape: | $s_{c}=1+0.2 K_{p} \frac{B}{L}$ | Any $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ |
|  | $s_{q}=s_{\gamma}=1+0.1 K_{P} \frac{B}{L}$ | $\phi>10^{\circ}$ |
|  | $s_{q}=s_{\gamma}=1$ | $\phi=0$ |
| Depth: | $d_{c}=1+0.2 \sqrt{K_{p}} \frac{D}{B}$ | Any $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ |
|  | $d_{q}=d_{\gamma}=1+0.1 \sqrt{K_{p}} \frac{D}{B}$ | $\phi>10$ |
|  | $d_{q}=d_{\gamma}=1$ | $\phi=0$ |
| Inclination: | $i_{c}=i_{q}=\left(1-\frac{\theta^{\circ}}{90^{\circ}}\right)^{2}$ | Any $\phi$ |
|  | $i_{\gamma}=\left(1-\frac{\theta^{\circ}}{\phi^{\circ}}\right)^{2}$ | $\phi>0$ |
| $\mathrm{H}=$ | $i_{\gamma}=0$ for $\theta>0$ | $\phi=0$ |

Where $K_{p}=\tan ^{2}(45+\phi / 2)$ as in Fig. 4-2
$\theta=$ angle of resultant $R$ measured from vertical without a sign; if $\theta=0$ all $i_{i}=1.0$.
$B, L, D=$ previously defined

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore \quad i_{q}=\left(1-\frac{20}{90}\right)^{2}=0.605 \\
& \quad i_{\boldsymbol{y}}=\left(1-\frac{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\circ}}{\boldsymbol{\phi}^{\circ}}\right)^{2} \quad \text { for } \phi>0 \\
& \therefore \quad i_{\gamma}=\left(1-\frac{20}{30}\right)^{2}=0.111 \\
& \bar{q}=\mathrm{D} \times \gamma=0.7 \times 18=12.6 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& q_{u / t}=12.6 \times 18.4 \times 1.3 \times 1.1 \times 0.605+0.5 \times 18 \times 1.25 \times 15.7 \times 1.3 \times 1.1 \times 0.111=200.5+28.03 \\
& =228.3 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& q_{a}=228.3 / 3=76.2 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{Q}=q_{a} \times \mathrm{B} \mathrm{xL}=76.2 \times 1.25^{2}=119 \mathrm{kN}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example 4-4:

Given: $A$ series of unconfined compression tests in the zone of interest (from SPT samples) from a boring-log give an average $q_{u}=200 \mathrm{kPa}$. The soil is fully saturated $(\phi=0)$

Required: Estimate the allowable bearing capacity for square footings located at somewhat uncertain depths ( let $\mathrm{D}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and $B$ dimensions unknown using both the Meyerhof and Terzaghi bearing-capacity equations. Use safety factor $\mathrm{SF}=3.0$.

Solution: (The reader should note this is the most common procedure for obtaining the allowable bearing capacity for cohesive soils with limited data.)
$a$ : By Meyerhof equations,
from table 4.1

$$
q_{\mathrm{ult}}=c N_{c} s_{c} d_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q} s_{q} d_{q}+0.5 \gamma B^{\prime} N_{\gamma} s_{\gamma} d_{\gamma}
$$

$$
\mathrm{c}=q_{u} / 2 \text { (for both equations) }
$$

from table $4.3 \quad \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{c}}=1+0.2 K_{p} \frac{B}{L}$
$K_{p}=\tan ^{2}(45+\emptyset / 2)=\tan ^{2}(45)=1.0$
$s_{c}=1.2$
$d_{c}=1+0.2 \sqrt{K_{p}} \frac{D}{B}$
$d_{c}=1 .+0=1.0$

$$
s_{q}=s_{\gamma}=1 \quad \phi=0
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
d_{q} & =d_{\gamma}=1 & \phi=0 \\
q_{\mathrm{ult}} & =1.2 c N_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q} \\
q_{a} & =\frac{q_{\mathrm{ult}}}{3}=1.2 \frac{q_{u}}{2}(5.14) \frac{1}{3}+\frac{\bar{q}}{3}=1.03 q_{u}+0.3 \bar{q}
\end{array}
$$

b. By Terzaghi equations, we can take $s_{c}=1.3$ for $\phi=0$.

$$
q_{a}=\frac{q_{\mathrm{uth}}}{3}=\frac{q_{u}}{2}(5.7)(1.3) \frac{1}{3}+\frac{\bar{q}}{3}=1.24 q_{u}+0.3 \bar{q}
$$

## FOOTINGS WITH ECCENTRIC <br> OR INCLINED LOADINGS

A footing may be eccentrically loaded from a concentric column with an axial load and moments about one or both axes as in Fig. 4-4. The eccentricity may result also from a column that is initially not centrally located.

## Footings with Eccentricity

Research and observation [Meyerhof and Hansen] indicate that effective footing dimensions obtained (refer to Fig. 4-4) as
$L^{\prime}=L-2 e_{x} \quad B^{\prime}=B-2 e_{y}$
should be used in bearing-capacity analyses to obtain an effective footing area defined as
$\boldsymbol{A}_{f}=\boldsymbol{B}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{L}^{\prime}$
and the center of pressure when using a rectangular pressure distribution of $q^{\prime}$ is the center of area $B^{\prime} L^{\prime}$ at point $A^{\prime}$; i.e., from Fig 4-4a:

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 e_{x}+L^{\prime}=L \\
e_{x}+c=L / 2
\end{gathered}
$$

Substitute for $L$ and obtain $\boldsymbol{c}=\boldsymbol{L}^{\prime} / 2$. If there is no eccentricity about either axis, use the actual footing dimension for that $\boldsymbol{B}^{\prime}$ or $\boldsymbol{L}^{\prime}$.

For design the minimum dimensions (to satisfy ACI 318 code) of a rectangular footing with a central column of dimensions $\boldsymbol{w}_{x} X \quad \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ are required to be

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{\text {min }}=4 e_{y}+w_{y} & B^{\prime}=2 e_{y}+w_{y} \\
L_{\text {min }}=4 e_{x}+w_{x} & L^{\prime}=2 e_{x}+w_{x}
\end{array}
$$

Final dimensions may be larger than $\boldsymbol{B}_{\text {min }}$ or $\boldsymbol{L}_{\text {min }}$ based on obtaining the required allowable bearing capacity.
The ultimate bearing capacity for footings with eccentricity, using Hansen/Vesic equations, is found by either the Hansen or Vesic bearing-capacity equation given in Table 4-1 with the following adjustments:

(a) Rectangular base

Figure 4-4. Method of computing effective footing dimensions when footing is eccentrically loaded for rectangular bases.
a. Use $\boldsymbol{B}^{\prime}$ in the $\gamma \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{N}_{\gamma}$ term.
b. Use $\boldsymbol{B}^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}^{\prime}$ in computing the shape factors.
c. Use actual $\boldsymbol{B}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}$ for all depth factors.

The computed ultimate bearing capacity $\boldsymbol{q}_{u t t}$ is then reduced to an allowable value $\boldsymbol{q}_{a}$ with an appropriate safety factor $\mathbf{S F}$ as

$$
\boldsymbol{q}_{a}=\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathrm{ut}} \mathbf{S F}\left(\text { and } \boldsymbol{P}_{a}=\boldsymbol{q}_{a} \boldsymbol{B}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{L}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Example 4-5. A square footing is 1.8 X 1.8 m with a 0.4 X 0.4 m square column. It is loaded with an axial load of 1800 kN and $M_{x}=450 \mathrm{kN} \cdot \mathrm{m} ; M_{y}=360 \mathrm{kN} \cdot \mathrm{m}$. Undrained triaxial tests (soil not saturated) give $\phi=36^{\circ}$ and $c=20 \mathrm{kPa}$. The footing depth $D=1.8 \mathrm{~m}$; the soil unit weight $\gamma=18.00 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$; the water table is at a depth of 6.1 m from the ground surface.
Required: What is the allowable soil pressure, if $\mathrm{SF}=3.0$, using the Hansen bearing-capacity equation with $B^{\prime}, L^{\prime}$ ?
Solution. See Fig. E4-5.
$\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{y}}=450 / 1800=0.25 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{x}}=360 / 1800=0.20 \mathrm{~m}
$$

Both values of $e$ are $<B / 6=1.8 / 6=0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. Also
$\boldsymbol{B}_{\text {min }}=4(0.25)+0.4=1.4<1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ given
$\boldsymbol{L}_{\text {min }}=4(0.20)+0.4=1.2<1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ given
Now find
$\boldsymbol{B}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{B}-\boldsymbol{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{y}}=1.8-2(0.25)=1.3 \mathrm{~m}$
$\boldsymbol{L}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{L}-\boldsymbol{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{x}}=1.8-2(0.20)=1.4 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\prime}>B^{\prime}\right)$

## By Hansen's equation.

From Table 4-4 at $\phi=36^{\circ}$ and rounding to integers, we obtain
$N_{c}=51 \quad N_{q}=38 \quad N_{\gamma}=40$
$N_{q} / N_{c}=0.746 \quad 2 \tan \phi(1-\sin \phi)^{2}=0.247$
Compute $D / B=1.8 / 1.8=1.0$
Now compute
$\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}=1+\left(N_{q} / N_{C}\right)\left(B^{\prime} / L^{\prime}\right)=1+0.746(1.3 / 1.4)=1.69$
$d_{c}=1+0.4 D / B=1+0.4(1.8 / 1.8)=1.40$
$s_{q}=1+\left(B^{\prime} / L^{\prime}\right) \sin \phi=1+(1.3 / 1.4) \sin 36^{\circ}=1.55$
$d_{q}=1+2 \tan \phi(1-\sin \phi)^{2} D / B=1+0.247(1.0)=1.25$
$s_{\gamma}=1-0.4 B^{\prime} / L^{\prime}=1-0.4 \times 1.3 / 1.4=0.62>0.60 \quad$ (O.K.)
$d_{\gamma}=1.0$
All $i_{i}=g_{i}=b_{i}=1.0(n o t 0.0)$
The Hansen equation is given in Table 4-1 as
$q_{u l t}=c N_{c} s_{c} d_{c}+\bar{q} N_{q} s_{q} d_{q}+0.5 \gamma B^{\prime} N_{\gamma} s_{\gamma} d_{\gamma}$


Figure E4-5

Inserting values computed above with terms of value 1.0 not shown (except $d_{\gamma}$ ) and using $B^{\prime}=1.3$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ult}}= 20(51)(1.69)(1.4) \\
&+1.8(18.0)(38)(1.55)(1.25) \\
&+0.5(18.0)(1.3)(40)(0.62)(1.0) \\
&= 2413+2385+290=5088 \mathrm{kPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathrm{SF}=3.0$ the allowable soil pressure $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{all}}=5088 / 3=1696 \mathrm{kPa} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 7 0 0} \mathrm{kPa}$

The actual soil pressure is

$$
q_{a c t}=\frac{1800}{B^{\prime} L^{\prime}}=\frac{1800}{1.3 \times 1.4}=989 \mathrm{kPa}
$$

Note that the allowable pressure $q_{\text {all }}$ is very large, and the actual soil pressure $\mathrm{q}_{\text {act }}$ is also large. With this large actual soil pressure, settlement may be the limiting factor. Some geotechnical consultants routinely limit the maximum allowable soil pressure to around 500 kPa in recommendations to clients for design whether settlement is a factor or not. Small footings with large column loads are visually not very confidence-inspiring during construction.

## BEARING CAPACITY FROM SPT

The SPT is widely used to obtain the bearing capacity of soils directly. One of the earliest published relationships was that of Terzaghi and Peck. This has been widely used, but these curves were overly conservative. Meyerhof published equations for computing the allowable bearing capacity for a $25-\mathrm{mm}$ settlement. These were also very conservative.
Joseph E. Bowels adjusted the equations to obtain the following:

$$
q_{\text {net }}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)=\frac{N_{60}}{0.05} F_{d}\left(\frac{S_{e}}{25}\right) \quad(\text { for } B \leq 1.22 \mathrm{~m})
$$

and

$$
q_{\mathrm{net}}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)=\frac{N_{60}}{0.08}\left(\frac{B+0.3}{B}\right)^{2} F_{d}\left(\frac{S_{e}}{25}\right)(\text { for } B>1.22 \mathrm{~m})
$$

where
$q_{\text {net }}=$ allowable bearing pressure for $\Delta H_{0}=25-\mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{kPa}$

$$
F_{d}=1+0.33 \frac{D_{f}}{B}<1.33 \text { [as suggested by Meyerhof] }
$$

$\mathrm{B}=$ foundation width, in meters
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ settlement, in mm.
In these equations the allowable soil pressure is proportional to settlement. In general the allowable pressure for any settlement $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is

$$
q_{a}^{\prime}=\frac{\Delta H_{j}}{\Delta H_{o}} q_{a}
$$

where $\Delta H_{0}=25 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Example 4-12

Given. The average $N_{60}$ blow count $=6$ in the effective zone for a footing located at $D=1.6 \mathrm{~m}$ (blow count average in range from 1- to $4-\mathrm{m}$ depth).

Required. What is the allowable bearing capacity for a $40-\mathrm{mm}$ settlement? Present data as a table of $q_{a}$ versus $\boldsymbol{B}$.

Solution. From Figure 3.17 we can see $D_{r}$ is small, soil is "loose," and settlement may be a problem.
Should one put a footing on loose sand or should it be densified first?
(including $F_{d}$ ) on a programmable calculator or personal computer and obtain the table, which can be plotted as required.
for $\mathrm{B}=1 \mathrm{~m} \quad F_{d}=1+0.33 \frac{1.6}{1}=1.528>1.33$ take $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}=1.33 \quad$ O.K
$\because \mathrm{B}<1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\therefore & q_{\mathrm{net}}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)=\frac{N_{60}}{0.05} F_{d}\left(\frac{S_{e}}{25}\right) \\
& \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{net}}=\frac{6}{0.05} \times 1.33 \times\left(\frac{40}{25}\right)=255.36 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

For example for $B=2 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
F_{d}=1+0.33 \frac{1.6}{2}=1.264<1.33 \text { O.K }
$$

$$
q_{\mathrm{net}}\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)=\frac{N_{60}}{0.08}\left(\frac{B+0.3}{B}\right)^{2} F_{d}\left(\frac{S_{e}}{25}\right)(\text { for } B>1.22 \mathrm{~m})
$$

$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{net}}=\frac{6}{0.08} x\left(\frac{2+0.3}{2}\right)^{2} x 1.264 x\left(\frac{40}{25}\right)=200.6 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
for $\mathrm{B}=3 \mathrm{~m} F_{d}=1+0.33 \frac{1.6}{3}=1.176<1.33$ O.K
$\mathrm{q}_{\text {net }}=\frac{6}{0.08} x\left(\frac{3+0.3}{3}\right)^{2} x 1.176 x\left(\frac{40}{25}\right)=170.72 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
for $B=4 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{d}}=1.32$ and $\mathrm{q}_{\text {net }}=157 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$

| $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{m})$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{q}_{\text {net }}(\mathrm{kPa})$ | 255.4 | 200.6 | 170.7 | 157 |


[^0]:    *These methods require a trial process to obtain design base dimensions since width $B$ and length $L$ are needed to compute shape, depth, and influence factors.
    $\dagger$ See Sec. 4-6 when $i_{i}<1$.

